ARKANSAS REPORTS

Vol. 87

CASES DETERMINED

IN THE

Supreme Court of Arkansas

FROM

JUNE to NOVEMBER 1908

T. D. CRAWFORD REPORTER

PUBLISHED
BY THE
STATE OF ARKANSAS
1909

COPYRIGHT 1909 BYO. C. LUDWIG SECRETARY OF STATE OF ARKANSAS

LITTLE ROCK
DEMOCRAT PRINTING & LITHOGRAPHING CO.
1909

Reprint 1956
Authority Act No. 429 of 1955
C. G. HALL
Secretary of State

JUDGES

OF THE

SUPREME COURT

DURING THE PERIOD OF THIS VOLUME

JOSEPH M. HILL, -	-	- Chief Justice.
BURRILL B. BATTLE,	_	-/
CARROLL D. WOOD, -	-	-(
EDGAR A. McCULLOCH,	-	- Associate Juctices.
JESSE C. HART,		-)
WILLIAM F. KIRBY,		ATTORNEY GENERAL.
P. D. ENGLISH,	_	- CLERK.

TABLE CASES REPORTED

•		ID to ATTEND	
A		Boltz (Wright v.)	567
Acree (Bigger v.)	318	Bonnette v. St. Louis, I. M. &	
Adams (St. Louis S. W. Ry.		S. Ry. Co	196
Co. v.)	136	Boone v. Holder	461
Allen v. Phillips	185	Bowen (Thompson v.)	490
Allison (Rowe v .)	206	Brabbzson (St. Louis, I. M. &	
Arkansas Central Rd. Co. v.		S. Ry. Co. v.)	109
Workman	471	Braddock v. England	393
Arkansas, La. & G. Ry. Co. v.	••	Brady (Harris v .)	428
Kennedy	50	Briggs (St. Louis, I. M. & S.	
	543	Ry. Co. v.)	581
В	0.0	Brinkley (Fort v .)	400
		Brookfield (Jonesboro, L. C. &	
Baker v. Cazort	368	E. Rd. Co. v.)	409
v. State		Brown v. Frenken	
Bailey (Carden v .)	230	Broyles (Siloam Springs v.)	202
(St. Louis, I. M. &		Bryant Lumber Co. v. Crist	434
S. Ry. Co. v.)	132	v. Stastney	321
Bank of Batesville (United		Buchanan (Chicago, R. I. & P.	
State Fidelity & Guaranty		Ry. Co. v.)	524
Co. v.)	348	Buford v. Lewis	412
Banks (Dallas County v .)	484		•
Barnwell v. Gravette		\mathbf{c}	
Barwick (Latham v.)			
Benefield (Billingsley v.)		wood	326
Bentley (Wells v.)	625	Carden v. Bailey	
Biddie (Ozan Lumber Co. v).		Case (Doniphan Lumber Co.	
Bigger v. Acree		v.)	168
Billingsley v. Benefield	128	Cates (St. Louis, I. M. & S.	
Blackwood v . Liebke	545	Ry. Co. v.)	162
		la	
Blakely (McKinney v .)	405	Caton v . Western Clay Drain-	
Blakely (McKinney v.) Bolton (Crawford County		Caton v. Western Clay Drainage District	8

[87

Chas. T. Abeles & Co. (Missis-	1	Dyer (St. Louis & S. F. Rd.	
sippi Valley Const. Co. v.)	374	Co. v.)	531
Chatfield v. Iowa & Ark. Land			
Co	24 I	E	
Chaudoin (Spaulding Mfg.		Earnest v. St. Louis, M. & S.	
Co. v.)	418	E. Ry. Co	65
Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.	•	Eldred v. Hart	534
Buchanan	524	England (Braddock v.)	393
v. Cotton	339	Evans v. St. Louis, I. M. & S.	0,0
v. Nelson	524	l — …	628
v. Perry County	406	•	
v. Simpson	335	F	
Citizens' Bank of Little Rock	555	Farmers & Mech. Bank v. Lay-	
(St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry.		son Lumber Co	607
Co. v.)	26	T 1 1 1 T 1 0 PT 1	•
Claiborne (Neimeyer v.)	72	Co. (Union Sawmill Co. v.)	117
Clark v. Jonesboro, L. C. & E.	/	Ferrell v. Laughinghouse	379
Rd. Co	385	Flint Lumber Co. (United	37 9
Coffman (Reaves v.)	505	States v.)	80
	00	Forrest City v. Orgill	389
Columbia County Bank (Mul-	1	Fort v. Brinkley	400
lins v.)	554 12	Fort Smith (Brizzolara v.)	85
Cooper v. Hot Springs	12	Fort Smith Refrigerator Works	05
Cotton (Chicago, R. I. & P.	220	(Sternberg v .)	56
Ry. Co. v.)	339	Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.	50
Craig (Sledge & Norfleet Co.		Smith	260
v.)	371	Frenken (Brown v.)	-
Crawford County Bank v. Bol-	T 40	Trenken (Brown 2.)	100
ton)		G	
Crist (Bryan Lumber Co. v.).		Cana (Vamonas s.)	
Cumnock v. State	34		221
D		Garner (Western Coal & Min-	
D-11- County of Bombo	٠٥,	ing Co. v.)	190
Dallas County v. Banks	484		
Doniphan Lumber Co. v. Case.	168		572
Downey (Shaffstall v.)	5	· •	519
Doyle v. Kavanaugh	364		
Driver (Home Insurance Co.		Whitehead	115
v.) (State or)		Gravette (Barnwell v.)	-
Indianay (State of)	T	Green (Aver-I and Tie Co. 71)	F 4 2

Griggs v. School District No.	1	J	
70	93	Jackson (Horton v.)	528
	70	Jamison (St. Louis, I. M. & S.	J
H		Ry. Co. v.)	511
			496
Hall (Henderson v.)	I	Johnson v. State	45
——— (St. Louis, I. M. &		Jones (Kitchens v.)	502
S. Ry. Co. v.)	242	Jonesboro, L. C. & E. Rd. Co.	•
Hambright (St. Louis, I. M. &		v. Brookfield	409
S. Ry. Co. v.)	614	v. Clark	385
Hand v. Haughland	105	K	
Hardie (St. Louis, I. M. & S.			
,	475	Kansas City So. Ry. Co. v.	442
Harding (Hight v.)	515	Henrie	443
Harris v. Brady	428	Kavanaugh (Doyle v.)	364
Harrod v. Stout-Greer Lumber	0	Kempner v. Gans Kennedy (Arkansas, La. & G.	221
Co	498	Ry. Co. v.)	50
Hart (Eldred v.)		Kerr (Pettus v .)	396
Haughland (Hand v.)	105	Kitchens v. Jones	502
Henderson v. Hall	I	Knauff v. National Cooperage	J
Henrie (Kansas City So. Ry. Co. v.)	443	Co	494
Hight v. Harding		L	
Holder (Boone v.)		Tamb (Caribban)	244
Home Insurance Co. v. Driver		Lamb (Smith v_1) Landau (Liddell v_1)	
Horton v. Jackson	528	Latham v. Barwick	
Horton (Pittsburg Reduction		Laughinghouse (Ferrell v.)	
Co. v.)	576	Lavendusky (St. Louis, I. M.	3/9
Hot Springs (Cooper v.)	12	& S. Ry. Co. v.)	540
Hoyt (Little Rock Brick		Layson Lumber Co. v. Farmers	J+-
Works v .)	313	& Mech. Bank v.)	607
Hudson (Pinkerton v .)	506	Leder (St. Louis S. W. Ry.	•
-		Co. v.)	298
I		Lewis (Buford v.)	
Industrial Mutual Indemnity		Levy v. Nash	41
Co. v. Perkins	70	Liddell v. Landau	438
Iowa & Ark. Land Co. (Chat-		Liebke (Blackwood v.)	545
field v .)	24 I	Little Rock Brick Works v.	
Irwin v. Nichols	97	Hoyt	313

Lockard (Stuckey v.) 232	Perry County (Chicago, R. I.
Looper (Mammoth Vein Coal	& Pac. Ry. Co. v.) 406
Co. v.)	
Ludwig (Phoenix Assurance	Phillips (Allen v.) 185
Co., Ltd., v .) 465	Phoenix Assurance Co., Ltd.,
M	v. Ludwig 465
McClintock (Taylor v.) 243	Pinkerton v. Hudson 506
3.6.75	Pittsburg Reduction Co. v.
To Tr.	Horton 576
McKinney v . Blakely 405 McPherson (Ward v) 521	R
Mammoth Vein Coal Co. 7'.	
Looper 217.	Reaves v. Coffman 60
Mississippi Valley Construc-	Richardson (St. Louis, I. M.
tion Co. v. Chas. T. Abeles &	& S. Ry. Co. v.)
Co 374	(St. Louis, I. M. &
Mitchell v. Moore 165	S. Ry. Co. v.) 602
Moore (Mitchell v.) 166	Robertson v. Robinson 367
Morrison v. St. Louis & S. F.	Robinson (Robertson v.) 367
Rd. Co 424	v. St. Louis, I. M. &
Mullins v. Columbia County	S. Ry. Co
Bank 554	Rowe v. Amson 200
Myzell (St. Louis S. W. Ry.	\mathbf{S}
Co. v.) 123	St. Louis & S. F. Rd. Co. v.
\mathbf{N}	Dyer531
Nash (Levy v.) 41	$ (Morrison v.) \dots 424$
National Cooperage Company	v. State 562
(Knauff v.) 494	St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v.
Neimeyer v. Claiborne 72	Bailey 132
Nelson (Chicago, R. I. & P.	$ (Bonnette v.) \dots 196$
Ry. Co. v .) 524	——— v. Brabbzson 100
Nichols (Irwin v.)	v. Briggs 581
0	v. Cates 162
Orgill (Forrest City v.) 389	v. Citizens' Bank of
Ozan Lumber Co. v. Biddie 587	Little Rock 26
	$ (Evans v.) \dots 628$
P. Darling (I. I. a. 1964)	v. Gilbreath 572
Perkins (Industrial Mutual In-	v. Hambright242, 614
demnity Co. v.) 70	v. Hardie 475

St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v. Jamison				
v. Lavendusky. 540 v. Richardson. 101 v. Richardson. 602 (Robinson v.) 611 v. Stell. 331 St. Louis, M. & S. E. Ry. Co. (Smith v.) 459 Earnest v.) 55 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. 496 Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v. Leder. 298 v. Myzell. 123 Sanger v. McDonald. 148 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey. 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 326 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 v. State 459 Souther v. Witt 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 382 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382 Stastn	St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v.		State (Baker v.)	564
v. Lavendusky 540 v. Richardson 101 v. Richardson 602 (Robinson v.) 611 v. Stell 308 v. Taylor 331 St. Louis, M. & S. E. Ry. Co. Jeffery v. Jeffery 496 Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. τ. Adams 136 v. Leder 298 v. Myzell 123 Sanger v. McDonald 148 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 v.) 93 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 330 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig 371 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 v. Lamb 344 v. State 459 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 382 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 382 <	Jamison	511	(Cumnock v .)	34
− v. Richardson 602 — (Robinson v.) 611 − v. Stell 308 — (St. Louis & S. F. − v. Stell 308 — (Smith v.) 459 − v. Taylor 331 Spaulding Mfg. Co. v. Chaudoin 418 Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. 562 Adams 136 — v. Leder 298 − v. Myzell 123 Sternberg v. Fort Smith Refrigerator Works 56 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Stuckey v. Lockard 232 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Taylor v. McClintock 243 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 175 Taylor v. McClintock 243 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Ry. Co. v.) 331 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 80 Fireflux <t< td=""><td>v. Lavendusky</td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td></t<>	v. Lavendusky			17
− v. Richardson 602 — (Robinson v.) 611 − v. Stell 308 — (St. Louis & S. F. − v. Stell 308 — (Smith v.) 459 − v. Taylor 331 Spaulding Mfg. Co. v. Chaudoin 418 Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. 562 Adams 136 — v. Leder 298 − v. Myzell 123 Sternberg v. Fort Smith Refrigerator Works 56 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Stuckey v. Lockard 232 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Taylor v. McClintock 243 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 175 Taylor v. McClintock 243 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Ry. Co. v.) 331 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 80 Fireflux <t< td=""><td> v. Richardson</td><td>101</td><td>——— (Johnson v.)</td><td>45</td></t<>	v. Richardson	101	——— (Johnson v.)	45
—— v. Stell	— v. Richardson		(St. Louis & S. F.	
Taylor		611	Rd. Co. v.)	562
St. Louis, M. & S. E. Ry. Co. Jeffery v. Jeffery. 496 Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. Adams 136 — v. Leder 298 — v. Myzell 123 Sanger v. McDonald 148 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig 371 360 w. Lamb 344 — v. Lamb 344 — v. State 459 Soutter v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 52 Works (Tidwell v.) 52 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 382 Statstney (Bryant Lumber Co. 521 Ward v. McPherson 521		308	(Smith v.)	459
Jeffery v. Jeffery 496 Stell (St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Earnest v.) 65 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. 65 Adams 136 — v. Leder 298 v. Myzell 123 Sanger v. McDonald 148 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 5 v.) 93 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 303 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 v. Lamb 344 v. State 459 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 382 Stell (St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. 50 Strickland v. Strickland. 131 Taylor v. McClintock. 243 Ry. Co. v.) <		331	Spaulding Mfg. Co. v. Chau-	
Earnest v.) 65 Co. v.) 308 St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. 70 Sternberg v. Fort Smith Refrigerator Works 56 Adams 136 56 Sternberg v. Fort Smith Refrigerator Works 56 —— v. Leder 298 Sternberg v. Fort Smith Refrigerator Works 56 Sanger v. McDonald 148 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Stuckey v. Lockard 232 Taylor v. McClintock 243 — (St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v.) 331 Terrell v. Wright 213 Thompson v. Bowen 490 Tidwell v. Southern Engine & Boiler Works 52 Suith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 — v. Lamb 344 — v. State 459 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 521 W Ward v. McPherson 521		_	doin	418
St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. 7. Adams 136 Adams 136 56		496		
Adams 136 frigerator Works 56 —— v. Leder 298 Stout-Greer Lumber Co. (Harris v.) 498 Sanger v. McDonald 148 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 232 V.) 93 Taylor v. McClintock 243 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Stuckey v. Lockard 232 Taylor v. McClintock 243 Ky. Co. v.) 336 Ry. Co. v.) 331 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Ry. Co. v.) 331 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Ry. Co. v.) 331 Torrell v. Wright 213 Thompson v. Bowen 490 Tidwell v. Southern Engine & Boiler Works 52 Turner (Southern Orchard Planting Co. v.) 382 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 521 Ward v. McPherson 521		65		308
v. Leder 298 Stout-Greer Lumber Co. (Harvey W. Myzell 498 Sanger v. McDonald 148 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Strickland v. Strickland 232 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Strickland v. Strickland 232 Taylor v. McClintock 243 Strickland v. Strickland 232 Taylor v. McClintock 243 (St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v.) Ry. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig 371 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v. Lamb 344 v. Lamb 344 v. Lamb 459 Southern Engine & Boiler 459				
Tis v. 498 Sanger v. McDonald 148 Strickland v. Strickland 131 Stuckey v. Lockard 232 232 233 234		136		56
Sanger v. McDonald 148 Strickland v. Strickland 131 School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 T Shaffstall v. Downey 5 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 35 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v. State 344 Souther v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 52 V. Turner 382 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382		298	,	
School District No. 70 (Griggs v.) 93 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 W Lamb 344 Souter v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 52 Variant Lumber Co. v. Bank of Batesville 348 W Ward v. McPherson 521		-		
v.) 93 Shaffstall v. Downey 5 Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.) 326 Shirey v. Shirey 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 — v. Lamb 344 — v. State 459 Souter v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) 593 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 52 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner 52 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382 W Ward v. McPherson 521		148		_
Shaffstall v. Downey			Stuckey v. Lockard	232
Shearwood (Capital Fire Ins. Co. v.)		93	$oldsymbol{ au}$	
Ry. Co. v.) 331		5	Taylor v. McClintock	243
Shirey v. Shirey. 175 Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles. 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig Shith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 V. Lamb 344 V. State 459 Souter v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works 52 United States v. Flint Lumber Co. 117 Co. v. Bank of Batesville 348 W W Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 521			(St. Louis, I. M. & S.	
Shofner (Western Union Tel. Co. v.) 303 Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.) 335 Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig 371 371 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.) 360 — v. Lamb 344 — v. State 459 Souther v. Witt 593 Southern Engine & Boiler Works 52 United States v. Flint Lumber Co. 117 Co. 202 United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Bank of Batesville 348 V. Turner 382 Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. 382 Ward v. McPherson 521		326	Ry. Co. v.)	331
Tidwell v. Southern Engine & Boiler Works Southern Engine & Southern Engine & Boiler Works Southern Engine & Boiler U Union Sawmill Co. v. Felsenthal Land & Townsite Co. 117 United States v. Flint Lumber Co. Witt. Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.) Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Engine & Boiler Works Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Engine & Boiler Works Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Engine & Boiler Works Southern Orchard Planting Co. Southern Orchard Pla		75	Terrell v. Wright	213
Siloam Springs v. Broyles 202 Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.)	•		Thompson v . Bowen	490
Simpson (Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.)	· .	_	Tidwell v. Southern Engine &	
Ry. Co. v.)		202	Boiler Works	52
Sledge & Norfleet Co. v. Craig 371 Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.)	= :		Turner (Southern Orchard	
Smith (Frank Kendall Lumber Co. v.)		1	Planting Co. v.)	382
Co. v.)		371	${f U}$	
thal Land & Townsite Co 117 v. Lamb		.60	Union Sawmill Co. v. Felsen-	
v. State				117
Souther v. Witt				•
Southern Engine & Boiler Works (Tidwell v.)				80
Works (Tidwell v.) 52 Southern Orchard Planting Co. v. Turner		93		
Southern Orchard Planting Co. vi Turner		50		
7. Turner		34		348
Stastney (Bryant Lumber Co. Ward v. McPherson 521		82		Ŧ
· · · · ·		,52	Ward v McPherson	521
		21		

Western Clay Drainage Dis-

Western Union Tel. Co. v.

trict (Caton v.).....

TABLE OF CASES

CITED BY THE COURT

A	Arkansas, Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Mc-
Abbot v. McCadden, 81 Wis. 563 513	Manus, 86 Ark. 115
Achilles v. Achilles, 151 Ill. 136 184 Adams v. Cost, 62 Md. 264 320	85 Ark. 33 173
Adams v. St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry.	Ark. Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Woolverton, 82 Ark. 476
Co. v. Wilkerson, 46 Ark. 513 542 Adams v. Wood, 8 Col. 306 162	Arkansas So. Ry. Co. v. German
Alabama Gt. So. Ry. Co. v. Thomp-	Nat. Bank, 77 Ark. 48227, 28, 3c Arkansas So. Ry. Co. v. German
son, 200 U. S. 206139, 140 Alabama State Mut. Ins. Co. v.	Nat. Bank, 207 U. S. 270 28
Long, 123 Ala. 66778, 328	Arkansas So. Rd. Co. v. Lough-ridge, 65 Ark. 300199
Allen v. Ozark Land Co., 55 Ark. 549 561	Armistead v. Brooke, 18 Ark. 521 280
Allison v. State, 74 Ark. 444 531	Armstrong v. Armstrong, 32 Miss. 279 182
American Seamen's Friend Soc. v. Hopper, 33 N. Y. 624278, 280	Ashley v. Little Rock, 56 Ark. 391 211
American Soda Fountain Co. v. Fu-	В
trall, 73 Ark. 464 545 Anderson v. Wainwright, 67 Ark.	Bain v. Clint, 33 Pac. 542 277
62 97	Bain v. Parker, 77 Ark. 168 396
Apperson v. Ford, 23 Ark. 746 210	Baker v. Allen, 66 Ark. 271 480
Archer-Foster Const. Co. v. Vaughn,	Banks v. Bowman, 83 Ark. 524 396
79 Ark. 20 324	Banks v. Flint, 54 Ark. 40 538
Arden Lumber Co. v. Henderson	Banks v. Goodfellow, L. R. 5 Q.
Iron Works & Supply Co., 83 Ark.	B. 549274. 277
240 96	Barker v. Hannibal & St. Joseph
Arkadelphia Lumber Co. v. Asman,	Rd. Co., 91 Mo. 9168, 69
72 Ark. 320 52	Barkley 7'. Wilcox, 86 N. Y. 140 44
Arkansas & La. Ry. Co. v. Stroude,	Barrett v. Cochran, 11 S. C. 29 493
77 Ark. 109 474	Barricklow v. Stewart, 72 N. E.
Arkansas Central Rd. Co. z. Fain,	128 275
85 Ark. 532	Barringer v. St. L., I. M. & S. Ry.
nedy, 84 Ark. 364	Co., 73 Ark. 543
Arkansas Mut. Fire Ins. Co. 7'. Clai-	Barry-Wehtmiller Mach. Co. v.
	Thompson, 83 Ark. 28796, 168 Barton v. Grand Lodge, 71 Ark. 35. 60
	Barton v. Grand Lodge, 71 Ark. 35. 60 Beavers v. Security Mutual Ins. Co.,
Clark. 84 Ark. 224	

	Bush v. Cella, 52 Ark. 378 427
Beller v. Jones, 22 Ark. 93 278	Butler v. State, 34 Ark. 485 290
Benjamin v. Birmingham, 50 Ark.	\mathbf{c}
Benoist v. Murrin, 58 Mo. 307278, 283	Carpenter v. Hammer, 75 Ark. 547. 206
Benton v. Holliday, 44 Ark. 56 79 Berger v. State, 50 Ark. 20 461	Carpenter v. Thornburn, 76 Ark. 578 600
Betts v. Shotton, 27 Wis. 667 162 Birch v. Walworth, 79 Ark. 580 363	Carroll County Bank v. Rhodes, 69
Birmingham v. Rogers, 46 Ark. 254. 427	Ark. 43
Blevins v. State, 85 Ark. 195 566 Boardman v. Woodman, 47 N. H.	59 Ark. 513 12 Case v. Cordell Zinc & Lead Min.
120	Co., 103 Mo. App. 477 69
Bobo v. State, 40 Ark. 224 441	Catchings v. Harcrow, 49 Ark. 20 523
Bohler v. Hicks, 48 S. E. 307274, 277	Central Coal & Coke Co. v. John
Bolling v. State, 54 Ark. 601 277	Henry Shoe Co., 69 Ark. 302 83
Bonordi's Will, 24 N. Y. Supp. 188. 277	Central Coal & Coke Co. v. Nie-
Bonard's Will, 16 Abb. Pr. (N. S.)	meyer Lumber Co., 65 Ark. 106 335
185	Chaffee v. Landers, 46 Ark. 364 539
	Chapline v. State, 77 Ark. 444 40
Book v. Polk, 81 Ark. 244 392	Chapman & Dewey Land Co. v. Big-
Boone v. Goodlett, 71 Ark. 577 465	elow, 77 Ark. 338 211
Boston & Maine Rd. v. Hurd, 108	Cheney v. Libby, 134 U. S. 68 600
Fed. 116	Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. Mc-
Bowman v. Banks, 83 Ark. 524600, 601	Cutcheon, 80 Ark. 235 480
Bowman v. Frith, 73 Ark. 523 434	Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v.
Boyce v. Grundy, 3 Pet. 210 495	Slaughter, 84 Ark. 423 343
Boysen v. Frink, 80 Ark. 254 511	Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co. v. Dough
Branch v. Mitchell, 24 Ark. 431 210	ty, 77 Ark. 1138, 427, 574
Branch v. Polk, 61 Ark. 388 368	Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co. v. Hickey,
Brink v. Wabash Ry. Co., 160 Mo.	81 Ark. 579 311
92 68	Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co. v. Jones,
Brinkerhoff v. Brinkerhoff, 80 N. E.	77 Ark. 367326, 400
1056 239	Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co. v. Rolfe,
Brooks v. Hamby, 86 Ark. 448 63	76 Ark. 220 301
Brown v. Bocquin, 57 Ark. 97 211	Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co. v. Thomp-
Brown v. Brown, 38 Ark. 324 183	son, 82 Ark. 11453, 457
Brown v. Combs, 29 N. J. L. 36 493	Chowning v. Barnett, 30 Ark. 560 427
Brown v. Nowell, 74 Ark. 484 211	Citizens' Bank v. Arkansas Com-
Bruce v. State, 71 Ark. 475 530	press Co., 80 Ark. 60128, 31
Bryan v. Winburn, 43 Ark. 28 210	Citizens' Electric Co. v. Thomas, 75
Buckner v. Real Estate Bank, 5 Ark.	Ark. 260 399
536 404	
Burgett v. Apperson, 52 Ark. 213 520	Chapham's Estate, In re. 103 N. W.
Burlington, etc. Ry. Co. v. Dunn,	61 277
	Clapp v. Fullerton, 34 N. Y. 190.275, 280

Cleveland, C. C. & St. L. Ry. Co. v.	Dennis v. Atlantic Coast Line Rd.	
Walls, 58 L. R. A. 651 412	49 S. E. 869	69
Close v. Glenwood Cemetery, 107 U.	Derrick v. Cole, 60 Ark. 394 4	ю6
S. 466 592	Dew v. Clark, 3 Add. Ecc. 79277, 2	e8o
Coffman v. St. Francis Drainage	Dobie v. Armstrong, 55 N. E. 305 2	8 0
Dist., 83 Ark. 54 12	Dodson v. Fort Smith, 33 Ark. 508 4	133
Collins v. Paepcke-Leicht Lbr. Co.,	Doe v. Harvey, 3 Ind. 104 1	46
74 Ark. 81	Dorr v. School District, 40 Ark.	
Colonial & U. S. Mortg. Co. v. Jeter,	237227, 2	229
71 Ark. 185	Dowdle v. Wheeler, 76 Ark. 529 1	
Columbia County Bank v. Emer-	TO 11 M 1 (1)	70
son, 86 Ark. 155 560	Dubos v. Jones, 16 So. 392 4	•
Cook v. Martin, 75 Ark. 40 239	Dugan v. Lewis, 14 S. W. 1024 5	
Cook v. Ziff Colored Masonic	Durand v. New Haven, etc., Co., 42	139
Lodge, 80 Ark, 31 189	Conn. 211	ют
Coolidge v. Burke, 69 Ark. 237 505	Durfey v. Thalheimer, 85 Ark. 544. 2	
Cooper v. Freeman Lumber Co., 61	Dunn v. Dunn, 26 Neb. 136 15	
Ark. 36	Dyche z. Vicksburg, Shreveport &	01
Cowling v. Nelson, 76 Ark. 146 210	Pac. Rd. Co., 79 Miss. 361 2	200
	Dyer v . Jacoway, 42 Ark. 186	
Cracraft v. Meyer, 76 Ark. 450 190	byti t. jacoway, 42 Mik. 160	03
Craig v. Russellville Waterworks	E	
Imp. Dist., 84 Ark. 390 12	1	
Crandall v. Minneapolis, etc., Ry.	l .	
	Earle Improvement Co. v. Chatfield.	
Co., 105 N. W. 185 339	Earle Improvement Co. v. Chatfield, 81 Ark. 206.	363
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296 3	363 60
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296 3 Eastwood v. Kennedy, 44 Md. 563	69
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317 84
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317 84
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 3317 84 69
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 3317 84 69
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317 84 69
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 3317 84 69 287
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 3317 84 69 2287 542 474 545
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 3317 84 69 2287 542 474 545 586
Co., 105 N. W. 185	81 Ark. 296	69 79 78 92 317 84 69 287 542 474 545 586

	· L"/
Fort v. State, 74 Ark. 210 464 Ft. Smith L. & T. Co. v. Barnes,	Guthrie v. State, 16 Neb. 667 24
80 Ark. 169	11
French v. Vanatta, 83 Ark. 306 505	H
Frere v. Peacocke, 1 Robertson, Ecc.	Hamilton v. Hannibal & S. J. R.
Cas., 448	Co *0 D
Reigle as Daint-1. C. A. s.	Hamilton-Brown Shoe Co. v. Choc-
Hulleols at Allonests a II	taw Merc. Co., 80 Ark. 440 281, 533
Furlow v. State, 72 Ark. 384 607	Hammock v. Creekmore, 48 Ark.
2 dillow 0. blate, 72 Ark. 384 007	264 Creekinore, 46 Ark.
G	264
Gaither v. Gage, 82 Ark. 51 211	Hanley v. Kansas City So. Ry. Co.,
Gannon v. Moore, 83 Ark. 196 430	187 II S 617
Gass v. Gass, 3 Humph. 278 278	187 U. S. 617
Gauss v. Doyle, 46 Ark. 122 625	Hardenburgh v. Hardenburgh, 109
Georgia Home Ins. Co. 7: Rosenfeld,	N. W. 1015
95 Fed. 358 328	Harriman v. Pittsburg, C. & St. L.
German Ins. Co. v. Gibson, 53 Ark.	R. Co., 12 N. E. 451
491	Harrison v. Trader, 29 Ark. 85 231
Gibney v. Crawford, 51 Ark. 34 409	Harrod 7. Myers, 21 Ark. 592 213
Gibson v. Herriott, 55 Ark. 85 146	Hart v. Seymour, 147 Ill. 598 493
Gibson Electric Co. v. Liverpool &	Hartford Fire Ins. Co. v. Enoch,
L. & G. Ins. Co., 159 N. Y. 418 328	79 Ark. 475
Gilbert v. Burlington, C. R. & N. Ry.	Harvey v. Douglass, 73 Ark. 221. 227
Co., 123 Fed. 832	Hays v. McLain, 66 Ark. 400 168
Gilbert v. Burlington, C. R. & N. Ry.	Hazer v. Streich, 66 N. W. 729 287
Co. 128 Fed. 700	Hemingway's Estate, 195 Pa. St.
Co., 128 Fed. 529	291
Mich. Showerman, 23 Mich.	Hempstead v. Watkins, 6 Ark. 317. 495
448	Henderson 7. Beard, 51 Ark. 483 227
Goodwin of Caribaldi 8- A.I.	Herman Kahn Co. v. Bowden, 80
Goodwin v. Garibaldi, 83 Ark. 74 627	Ark. 23 417
Goss v. State, 74 Ark. 33	Herron v. State, 51 Ark. 133 461
	Hibbard v. Kirby, 38 Ark. 105 330
134 Pa. St. 570	Higgins v. State, 157 Ind. 5722, 23, 24
Gran v. Spangenberg, 53 Minn. 42 100	Hill v. Jebb, 55 Ark. 574 511
Great Northern Ry. Co. v. Fowler,	Himstedt v. German Bank, 46 Ark.
136 Fed. 118	537 423
Great Northern Ry. Co. v. Kas-	Hollinger v. Syms, 37 N. J. Eq. 233. 277
ischke, 104 Fed. 440 623	Holloway v. Holloway, 85 Ark. 431. 231
Green v. State, 64 Ark. 534 277	Hopkins v. Harper, 46 Ark. 251 231
Greenstreet v. Thornton, 60 Ark.	Horsley v. Hilburn, 44 Ark. 478 146
369 610	Hot Springs Rd. Co. v. Deloney, 65
Greenwood v. Greenwood. 3 Curteis,	Ark. 177 166
337 274	Hot Springs St. Rd. Co. v. Hildrein,
Guarantee Co. 7'. Mech. Sav. Bank,	72 Ark. 572 574
40 C. C. A. 542 356 1	Houghton v. Mosley, 80 Ark. 259 370

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$

	Jordan v. Fenno, 13 Ark. 593 625 Jordan v. Mitchell, 25 Ark. 258 538
69 S. W. 651	
Hovey v. Hobson, 53 Me. 451 213 Howard v. State, 72 Ark. 586 23	K
Howell v. Budd, 91 Cal. 242 50	Kahn v. Lucchesi, 65 Ark. 371 105
Hughes v. Wheat, 32 Ark. 292 232	Kanenbly v. Volkenberg, 75 N. Y.
Hunt v . Gardner, 74 Ark. 583 363	Supp. 8 493
Hunter v. Erie R. Co., 56 Atl. 139. 412	Kansas City, Ft. S. & M. Rd. Co. v.
Hunter v. Ricke, 127 Ia. 108 320	Daughtry, 138 U. S. 298 141
	Kansas City, Ft. S. & M. Rd. Co. v.
I	Washington, 74 Ark. 9 343
	Kansas City So. Ry. Co. v. Davis,
Illinois Cent. Rd. Co. v. Latimer,	83 Ark. 217312, 585, 586
128 Ill. 163	Kansas City So. Ry. Co. v. Henrie,
Ince v. State, 77 Ark. 426 295	87 Ark. 443
Independent Order Forresters v.	Kansas City. S. & M. Rd. v. Sum-
Zak, 29 Am. St. 318	mers, 45 Ark. 295
Industrial Mut. Ind. Co. v. Perkins,	458 539
81 Ark. 87	Kellogg v. Suffolk & Carolina Rd.
754	Co., 100 N. C. 158
Ish v. Morgan, 48 Ark. 413 600	Kelly v. Dooling, 23 Ark. 582 100
15h V. Molgan, 40 Ark. 415	Kendrick's Estate, In re, 62 Pac.
J	609
J	009
· ·	Kentucky Vermillion Mining etc. Co.
Jacks v. Chaffin, 34 Ark. 534 493	Kentucky Vermillion Mining etc. Co. v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed.
Jacks v. Chaffin, 34 Ark. 534 493 Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410 493	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed.
Jacks v. Chaffin, 34 Ark. 534 493 Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410 493 Jacob v. Whitcomb, 10 Cush. 255 279	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410 493	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410 493 Jacob v. Whitcomb, 10 Cush. 255 279 Jacoway v. Ins. Co., 49 Ark. 323 379 James v. James, 58 Ark. 157 579	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410 493 Jacob v. Whitcomb, 10 Cush. 255 279 Jacoway v. Ins. Co., 49 Ark. 323 379 James v. James, 58 Ark. 157 579 Jenkins v. International Bank, 97	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 49
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch's. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513 Kurtz v. Kurtz, 38 Ark. 119 183
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513 Kurtz v. Kurtz, 38 Ark. 119 183
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513 Kurtz v. Kurtz, 38 Ark. 119 183
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513 Kurtz v. Kurtz, 38 Ark. 119 183 L Lacotts v. Quertermous, 83 Ark. 174 430
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695 328 King v. Rowan, 34 So. 327 274 Kirby, In re, 39 L. R. A. 856 404 Koch v. Kimberling, 55 Ark. 547 206 Koch's Estate, Case of, 4 Rawle 267 162 Koelin v. Rufenact, 6 Ky. Law. Rep. 736 464 Kuhns v. Wisconsin, etc., Ry. Co., 70 Ia. 561 513 Kurtz v. Kurtz, 38 Ark. 119 183 L Lacotts v. Quertermous, 83 Ark. 174 430 Land v. Wilmington & Weldon Rd.,
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695
Jackson v. Roberts, 95 Ky. 410	v. Norwich etc. Ins. Soc., 146 Fed. 695

	•
Lawrence v. Zimpleman, 37 Ark.	Lucas v. Parsons, 24 Ga. 640274, 283
643 210	Luckinbill v. State, 52 Ark. 45 281
24 W 3011 V. State, 32 ATK. 220 40	Lunney v. Healey, 44 L. R. A. 593 510
Lee County v. Robertson, 66 Ark.	Luttrell v. Knoxville, L. & J. R. Co.,
82 433	105 S. W. 565
Leep v. Railway Co., 58 Ark. 435 502	Luxora v. Jonesboro, L. C. & E. Rd.
Lenow v. Fones, 48 Ark. 557 505	Co., 83 Ark. 275 392
Leonbard v. Flood, 68 Ark. 162 539	392
Levy v. Schurman, 6 Ark. 182 317	M
Liddell v. Bodenheimer, 78 Ark.	Mala G
364441, 442	Mabry v. State, 80 Ark. 349 531
Lincoln v. Little Rock Granite Co.,	McAlister v. Chespeake & C. Ry.
56 Ark. 405 551	Co, 157 Fed. 740
Little Rock v. Fitzgerald, 59 Ark.	McArthur v. State, 59 Ark. 435 290
494	McBride v. Berman, 79 Ark. 62 447
Little Rock & F. S. Ry. Co. v.	McClintock v. Curd, 32 Mo. 411 274
Barker, 33 Ark. 353 69	McCulloch v. Campbell, 49 Ark.
Little Rock & F. S. Ry. Co. v. Cave-	367
nesse, 48 Ark. 106464 574	McCullough v. Blackwell, 51 Ark.
Little Rock & Ft. S. Ry. Co v.	159
Chapman, 39 Ark. 463 480	McCurry v. Hawkins, 83 Ark. 202. 227
Little Rock & F. S. Ry. Co. v. Eu-	McDevitt's Case, In re, 30 Pac.
banks, 48 Ark. 460 574	McDopough at William 274
Little Rock & F. S. Ry. Co. v. Trot-	McDonough v. Williams, 77 Ark.
ter, 37 Ark. 593 280	261
Little Rock & F. S. Ry. Co. v. Wal-	McGebes v. Brown, 46 Ark. 25 146
lis, 82 Ark. 447480, 482	McGehee v. Carroll, 31 Ark. 550 232
Little Rock Granite Co. v. Shall,	McGovran's Will, 185 Pa. 203
59 Ark. 405395, 600	McKennon v. St. Louis, I. M. & S.
11. 1cy, Co, V,	Ry Co. 60 Arts ros
Iredell, 50 Ark. 388 141	Ry. Co., 69 Ark. 104
Trock Region Region Co. v.	Mahoney v. Roberts, 86 Ark. 130 307
3001He1, 60 7HR. 150 404	Mammoth Vein Coal Co. 7. Looper,
The Pool Inc. Co. D. Board of As-	87 Ark. 217196, 325
sessors, 44 La. Ann, 760 N 469	Mallory v. Brademeyer, 76 Ark.
20ckinge 1. Fisici, 3/ 3. W. 05 320	538 335
Loftis v. Glass, 15 Ark. 680 505	Marquette & Ontonagon Rd. Co. v.
Logan V. Lastern Ark, Land Co.,	Taft, 28 Mich. 289 200
68 Ark. 248 363	Martin v. Allard, 55 Ark. 218 363
Logan 7. Jelks, 34 Ark. 547 498 1	Martin v. Railway Co., 55 Ark. 510 579
Long v. Chas. T. Abeles & Co., 77	Mason, Ex parte, 54 Am. St. 772. 404
Ark. 156 60]	Mathews v. Marks, 44 Ark. 436 210
	Matlock v. Reppy. 47 Ark. 148 570
Ark. 534 474	Meehan v. Valentine, 145 U. S. 611. 417
Lower v. Hickman, 80 Ark. 505 96 1	Meeks v. State, 80 Ark. 570108: 210
- '	3, 9100, 210

Memphis & C. Rd. Co. v. Whitfield,	Negaubauer 7. Gt. Northern Ry. Co., 99 N. W. 620
44 Miss. 466 586	
Merrill v. Rolston, 5 Red. Surr. 252 278	Neely v. Rembert, 71 Ark. 91570, 571 Nevada County v. Williams, 72 Ark.
Merriman v. Sarlo, 63 Ark. 151 406	394 409
Merritt v. Wallace, 76 Ark. 217 370	Newman v. Mountain Park Land
Meyer Bros. Drug Co. v. Davis, 68	Co., 85 Ark. 208147, 211
Ark. 112 64	New York & N. E. Rd. Co. v. Bris-
Middleditch v. Williams, 45 N. J.	tol, 151 U. S. 556 592
Eq. 278278, 280	Nicklace v. Dickerson, 65 Ark. 422 498
Million v. Taylor, 38 Ark. 420 347	Nilson v. Jonesboro, 57 Ark. 16956, 551
Million V. Taylor, 30 Mrs. 420 347	Nordman v. Craighead, 27 Ark.
Miller's Estate, In re, 88 Pac. 338 286	369 79
Milwaukee Theater Co. v. Fidelity,	Northern Central Ry. Co. v. State,
etc., Co., 66 N. W. 361 356	Northern Central Ry. Co. v. State,
Mississippi River, H. & W. Ry. Co.	29 Md. 441
v. Ford, 71 Ark. 192 287	Norton 7'. Dorsey, 65 Mo. 376 464
Mississippi Valley Const. Co. v.	
Chas. T. Abeles & Co., 87 Ark.	0
374427, 438	O'Neal v. Parker, 83 Ark. 133 206
Monogahela Coal Co. v. Fidelity &	Organ v. M. & L. R. Rd. Co., 51
Dep. Co., 36 C. C. A. 444 356	Ark. 225
Montgomery v. Black, 75 Ark. 184 146	Osceola Land Co. v. Chicago Mill &
Morris v. Duluth, S. S. & A. Ry.	Lumber Co., 84 Ark. 8363, 492, 493
Co., 108 Fed. 747 458	
Morrilton Waterworks Imp. Dist. v.	Ouachita Baptist College v. Scott,
Earl, 71 Ark. 4 92	64 Ark. 349 273
Morris v. Fletcher, 67 Ark. 105 64	Owen v. Crumbaugh, 81 N. E.
Morris v. Green, 75 Ark. 410396, 600	1044278, 280
Morris v. Thomasson, 72 Ark. 264. 545	
Morton v. Scull, 23 Ark. 289 280	P
Moses v. Julian, 45 N. H. 52 50	Packard v. Hannibal & St. Joseph
Mt. Nebo Anthracite Coal Co. v.	Rd. Co., 181 Mo. 421
	Pasley v. St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry.
Williamson, 73 Ark. 530 607	Co., 83 Ark. 22104, 112, 113, 573
Mullins v. Cottrell, 41 Miss. 324 280	TO 1 TT'11 O- A-1 -6-
Munos v. Railroad, 51 Fed. 188 69	Pairing Dist. v. Sisters of Mercy,
Murphy, In re, 39 N. E. 691 448	86 Ark. 109 12
Murphy v. Citizens' Bank, 84 Ark.	
100 210	
Murray 7'. Murray, 8 L. R. A. 95 347	
X	Pennsylvania College Cases, 13
	Wall. 190 592
Neal v. Andrews, 53 Ark. 445 190	
Neal 7. Brandon, 74 Ark. 320206, 370	
Neal v. Wideman, 59 Ark. 5 190	
Nebraska Nat. Bank v. Walsh, 68	People's Fire Ins. Assoc. of Ark. v.
Ark. 433 379	Goyne, 79 Ark. 315 78
1	

	People's Fire Ins. Assoc. v. Gorham,	Rankin v. Schofield, 81 Ark. 440 210
	79 Ark. 160 173	Rau v. Little Rock, 34 Ark. 303 92
	Petsinger v. Beaver, 44 Ark. 562 8	7 01 9-311 9-2
	Phoenix Ins. Co. v. Public Parks	v. Robins, 74 Ark. 437 417
	Amusement Co., 63 Ark. 187 78	
	Pine River Logging Co. v. United	294 356
	States, 186 U. S. 279 83	Reese v. Cannon, 73 Ark. 604 520
	Pingree v. Johnson, 39 Atl. 202 287	υ. Steel, 73 Ark. 66 434
	Pipkin v. Williams, 57 Ark. 247372, 373	Reichert v. Reichert, 107 N. W.
	Pittsburg, etc., Ry. Co. v. Hine, 25	1057 277
	O. St. 629 69	v. St. Louis & S. F. Ry., 51
	Planters' Mut. Ins. Assoc v. Hamil-	Ark. 491
	ton, 77 Ark. 27 174	Richards v. Green, 23 N. J. Eq. 32,
	Plunkett v. State, 72 Ark. 409 290	536 228
	Plymouth Gold Mining Co. v.	Richardson v. McLemore, 60 Miss.
	Amador & S. C. Co., 118 U. S.	315 403
	264	Richelieu Hotel Co. v. International
	Pollard v. Vinton, 105 U. S. 7 32	Military Encampment Co., 14 Ill.
	Polson v. Polson, 140 Ind. 310 182	248 100
	Potter v. Jones, 12 L. R. A. 161277, 280	Riggs v. American Tract Soc., 95 N.
	Powers v. Chesapeake & Ohio Ry.	Y. 511 274
	Co., 169 U. S. 92	Ritchie v. Frazier, 50 Ark. 393 97
	Present & N. W. D. G. W. 11	Rivard v. Rivard, 66 N. W. 681. 275
	Prescott & N. W. Ry. Co. v. Weldy, 80 Ark. 454	Road Imp. Dist v. Glover, 86 Ark.
		231 12
	unen v. bimpson, /4 Ark. 592 04	Robinson v. Adams, 62 Me. 269276, 278
	${f Q}$	Roberts v. Northern Pac. Rd. Co.,
1	Queen Ins. Co. τ'. Young, 86 Ala.	158 U. S. 1
	424	v. Roberts, 115 Ga. 259 50
(Quinn v. Higgins, 24 N. W. 482 294	Ark 188
		Rogers v. Choctaw, O. & G. Rd. Co.,
	${f R}$	76 Ark. 520 112
1	Railway v. Hall, 53 Ark. 7 127	Rosewater v. Schwab Clothing Co.
_	v. Williams, 53 Ark. 58 100	58 Ark. 448
]	Railway Company v. Barry, 58 Ark.	Ross v. Butler, to N. I. For 204 216
	190	Rowe v. Allison, 87 Ark. 206147, 237
_	o. Coming, 59 Mrk. 395 542	Rowland v. State, 45 Ark. 134 40
	0. Clavells, 5/ 111k. 112 343	Royal Circle v. Achterrath, 204 Ill.
Ξ	0. Davis, 54 Alik, 100 514	
_	v. Lyman, 57 Ark. 512 452 v. Sweet, 60 Ark. 558 454	Ruble v. Helm, 57 Ark. 304 206
_	v. Tankersley, 54 Ark. 25 586	S
_	Thomasson to Art. 712 Com	
_		
_	v. Thomasson, 59 Ark. 140 607	
_		83 Ark. 502

v. James, 161 U. S. 545 469	
v. Pearce, 82 Ark. 353335, 343	age Co., 81 Ark. 373 303
v. Wells, 81 Ark. 469 343	St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. Brown,
—— v. Wells, 82 Ark. 372	75 Ark. 137 575
196, 219, 220, 451	v. Graham, 83 Ark. 61 301
St. Louis, A. & T. R. Co. v. Hoover,	v. Johnson, 85 S. W. 476 533
53 Ark. 377 199	v. Kilberry, 83 Ark. 87 343
St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v.	v. Leder, 79 Ark. 59 301
Allen, 86 Ark. 465 632	v. McNeil, 79 Ark. 470 335
v. Andrews, 79 Ark. 439	v. Morris, 76 Ark. 542 482
196, 219, 451	v. Reagan, 79 Ark. 484 165
v. Aven, 61 Ark. 141 533	v. Underwood, 74 Ark. 610. 542
v. Barnett, 65 Ark. 225	St. Louis Ref. & W. G. Co. v.
399, 482, 607	Thornton, 74 Ark. 383 211
v. Battle, 69 Ark. 369 586	Sale v. McLean, 29 Ark. 612 210
v. Beecher, 65 Ark. 64 366	Sanger v. McDonald, 82 Ark. 432. 148
v. Bowen, 73 Ark. 594 607	Sattle v. Choctaw, O. & G. R. Co.,
v. Boyles, 78 Ark. 374127, 370	144 Fed. 668
v. Box, 52 Ark. 368 534	Savage v. Lichlyter, 59 Ark. 1 206
v. Brabbzson, 87 Ark. 109 573	Savannah Savings Bank v. Logan,
v. Bryant, 81 Ark. 369 542 v. Cain, 79 Ark. 225 474	99 Ga. 291 539 Schiefelbein v. Badger Paper Co.,
v. Coolidge, 73 Ark. 112 301	77 N. W. 742
v. Dawson, 77 Ark. 434 452	Schneider v. Vosburgh, 106 N. W.
v. Evans, 74 Ark. 407 631	1130 275
v. Evans, 80 Ark. 19 206	School Dist. v. Goodwin, 81 Ark.
v. Grant, 75 Ark. 579 542	143
v. Harmon, 85 Ark. 503113, 573	Scott's Estate, In re, 60 Pac. 527 280
v. Hoshall, 82 Ark. 387 482	Scruggs v. Scottish Mortgage Co.,
v. Hook, 83 Ark. 589 295	54 Ark. 566 538
v. Inman, 81 Ark. 501 324	Searcy v. Yarnell, 47 Ark. 260. 523
v. Law, 68 Ark. 218 335	Seaver v. Phelps, 28 Mass. 304 213
v. Love, 74 Ark. 528 613	Seawel v. Dist, 70 Ark. 166 276
—— v. Moss, 75 Ark. 64 427	Seawell v. Young 77 Ark 200 400
v. Ozier, 86 Ark. 179 303	Security Mut. Ins. Co. v. Woodson.
v. Paul, 173 U. S. 404 592	79 Ark. 266
v. Raines, 86 Ark. 306 632	Sherman v. King, 71 Ark. 248 627
v. Randle, 85 Ark. 127 343 v. Richardson, 87 Ark. 101 574	Shields v. Ohio, 95 U. S. 319 591
v. Robert Hitt, 76 Ark. 233. 281	Shorter University v. Franklin, 75
v. Rosenberry, 45 Ark. 256 280	Ark. 511
v. Saunders, 85 Ark. 111 482	Siebert v. Sup. Council, 23 Mo. App.
v. Smith, 82 Ark. 105 624	268
v. Snell, 82 Ark. 61114, 127	Simmons, In re, 55 Ark. 485 505
	Sinking Fund Cases, 99 U. S. 721. 592
	Sisson v. Donnelly, 36 N. J. L. 432. 100
	от с. Болисту, 30 N. J. L. 432 IOO

Smith v. Allen, 31 Ark. 268 23 —— v. Smith, 34 L. R. A. 49 34 —— v. Smith, 48 N. J. Eq.	7 1	83 U.	S.	642.			Moore, 50	
570274, 278, 28	So				Т			
v. State, 50 Ark. 545 28			<i>T</i> *	٠,	_	C.	-06	
v. State, 87 Ark. 459463, 46	_ !						586	
Smith's Will, 52 Wis. 543 27	1						5 148	
Snyder v. State, 86 Ark. 456 53	ייי וייי						v. Clem,	329
Soudan Planting Co. v. Stevenson, 83 Ark. 171	1							533
		-					son, 72	300
Southern Cotton Oil Co. v. Spotts,								174
77 Ark. 458 40	~	-	-				k. 531	
Southwestern Telephone Co. v	ጥል						Bridges,	•
Woughter, 56 Ark. 206 51	3 ,							141
Sparks v. Farris, 71 Ark. 117 43 —— v. Robinson, 66 Ark. 460 42	บ่านื						ers, 110	
Spencer's Estate, 31 Pac. 454 27	1 '	s. w.	946					622
Springfield Furniture Co. v. School	³ Th	e Har	risbı	urg, 1	19 U	. S. 19	9	69
Dist., 67 Ark. 236 39	2 Th	eroux	v.	Nort	hern	Pac. I	Ry. Co.,	
Spring Valley Waterworks v. Schot-	(•						69
tler, 110 U. S. 347 59	12		-				574	96
Stanton v. Wetherwax, 16 Barb.	Th	-			-		df. 449.	_
259 27	رم م				-		rb. 107.	
State v. Aetna Fire Ins. Co., 66 Ark.							ю о- м	484
480 7	7 U						., 81 N.	208
v. Fort, 18 Ark. 202 32	2U						1158	
	40 :		٠.		_		556	
v. Lawson, 6 Ark. 269 50	⁰⁴ То						ll. 454	
	²⁴ Τι						62	
	22 Tı)	
Stein v. Ashby, 30 Ala. 363	⁰⁵ լ	ittle v .	De	troit	, etc.,	Ry.	Co., 122	
Steinkuehler v. Wempner, 81 N. E.	8o 1	U. S. 1	189.					458
482	ou .				TT			
	59				U		_	
Stewart v. Bobo, 81 Ark. 66 20	ال مُ						. Co. v	
Stillwell v. Paepcke-Leicht Lumber	1 '						220	
Co., 73 Ark. 43256, 5	てつ .						idy, iói	_
Stone v. South Carolina, 117 U. S.							 1thal, 85	
Strickland v. Strickland, 80 Ark. 451. 13							Rd. Co.	
Stroup v. Stroup, 27 L. R. A. 523 34	_							
	12	-					7 05	
Sun Mut. Ins. Co. v. Dudley, 65	-						e. Land	
Ark. 240 3	28	Co., 14	18 U	J. S.	31			493

**	
United States Fidelity, &c., Co. v.	Weick v. Dougherty, 90 S. W. 966. 320
Egg Shippers Strawboard & Filler	Western Coal & Mining Co. v. Gar-
Co., 78 C. C. A. 345	ner, 87 Ark. 190 325
United States Life Ins. Co. v. Smith,	Wetmore v. Kerrick, 205 U. S. 141. 442
92 Fed. 503 328	Wetz v. Schneider, 78 S. W. 394 277
\mathbf{v}	Whipple v. Eddy, 161 Ill. 114 278 White, Will of, 121 N. Y. 406 278
Vauderwiele v. Taylor, 65 N. Y. 341. 44	Whissen v. Furth, 73 Ark. 366 434
Van Deuron v. S	Wiggin v. Sweet, 6 Met. 197 162
Van Patten at Wants 20 Ants at 2	Williams v. Buchanan, 86 Ark. 259. 329
Van Patten v. Wank, 82 Ark. 547 370	v. Green, 14 Ark. 315 551
Vaughan v. State, 57 Ark. 1 545 Veazie Bank v. Young, 53 Me. 560. 162	v. Rhodes, 8r Ill. 571 239
Vallation 7 = -	v. U. S. Fidelity & Guar-
vetteriein, <i>In re</i> , 44 Fed. 57 84	anty Co., 66 Atl. 495 356
W	v. Williams, 13 S. W. 652. 283
Waganar w Walant D. G	Wilmington Mining Co. v. Fulton,
Wagoner v. Wabash R. Co., 94 S.	205 U. S. 60
Wellson - David 69 A 1	Wilson v. Anthony, 19 Ark. 16 239
Walker v. David, 68 Ark. 544 227	v. Massie, 70 Ark. 25 504
v. Helms, 84 Ark. 414 368	v. Ry. Co., 64 S. C. 162 469
v. Walker, 27 L. R. A. 799. 347 Wallace v. St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry.	v. Stark, 48 Ark. 73 232
Co. 82 Ark 250	Wisconsin & Arkansas Lbr. Co. v.
Co., 83 Ark. 359206, 370 Wallis Iron Works v. Monmouth	Reaves, 82 Ark. 377136, 575
Park Assoc., 19 L. R. A. 456 100	Wood v. Wood, 54 Ark. 172 183
Ward Furniture Mfg. Co. v. Isbell,	v. Wood, 59 Ark. 441 183
81 Ark. 549	Woodenware Co. v. United States,
Ware v. White, 81 Ark. 220 211	106 U. S. 432 83
Warren & O. V. Rd. Co. v. Garrison,	Woodward v. State, 84 Ark. 119 23
74 Ark. 136	· •
Waters-Pierce Oil Co. v. Hot	X
Socionar On A 1	Yazoo & M. V. Rd. Co. v. Byrd, 42
Weaver v. Arkansas Nat. Bank, 73	So. 286 201
Ark. 462	Yeates v. Pryor, 11 Ark. 58 570
Webb v. Kelsey, 66 Ark. 180 409	Yell County v. Wills, 83 Ark. 231 409
Wecker v. National Enameling Co.,	Young v. Mailory, 35 S. E. 278 280
204 U. S. 176	v. Stevenson, 75 Ark. 181. 625
1401	Dietenson, 75 Air. 161. 025

į

APPENDIX

I.

IN MEMORIAM

THOMAS BOLLING MARTIN

On 30th day of November, 1908, at a meeting of the Court appeared Hon. George B. Rose, a member of the Bar of this Court, and presented the following:

RESOLUTIONS OF THE PULASKI COUNTY BAR.

Thomas Bolling Martin, who was for twenty-five years a member of this bar, and for eight years the chancellor of the Pulaski Chancery Court, died on the evening of January 19, 1908, after a protracted illness of several weeks. He was born on the first day of March, 1852, and, consequently, was in the very prime of his usefulness as a lawyer when he was taken away. He was a man of wonderful force of character. He was well grounded in the principles of law. He was firm, fearless and determined in the discharge of his duties. As a judge he knew neither friend nor foe, but did what he believed to be right. He was so constituted that no question of expediency could induce him to depart from the strict line of right, justice and equity. He was a model judge, a conscientious, upright man and a splendid citizen.

Therefore, in consideration of our acknowledgment of such qualifications, so rare and to be treasured, we, the members of the Pulaski County Bar, do resolve:

- r. That in the death of Judge Martin we have sustained a great loss to our profession.
- 2. That the State has sustained a great loss by the death of a citizen who was always true to her interests, and whose heart and conscience were ever alive to the demands of justice and right, and who had the courage and firmness to do his duty as he saw it.
 - 3. That we tender to his bereaved family our tenderest sympathies.

4. That these resolutions be presented to the Supreme, Chancery and Circuit Courts, State and Federal, and be published in the daily newspapers of Little Rock.

[Signed] GEORGE B. ROSE,
F. T. VAUGHAN,
C. T. COFFMAN,
G. W. MURPHY,
JOHN M. MOORE.

In presenting these Resolutions, Mr. Rose spoke as follows:

Judge Thomas Bolling Martin was born in Dallas County, Arkansas, on March 1, 1852. He practiced law in Pine Bluff until 1880, when he removed to Little Rock, and speedily became one of the most conspicuous advocates in the State. On the 21st of January, 1885, he was appointed chancellor of the First Chancery District, and held the office for the full term of eight years. About the time of his retirement, he fell into ill health, and was never able again to take an active part in the practice. He died at his home on the 19th day of January, 1908, after a long and lingering illness.

Judge Martin was equally distinguished at the bar and on the bench. He was a strong personality, too striking to be forgotten by those who knew him, and one who should be remembered in the years to come.

When we speak of such a man, the language of formal eulogy should be laid aside, and he should be described exactly as he was; for with such the plain truth suffices. He was my friend, faithful and more than just to me; and I am glad to feel that in speaking the simple truth I do him the greatest honor.

At the bar he was extremely successful. His most marked quality as an advocate was his intensity. He threw himself into every case with all his heart and soul. He put into every effort all the vigor of his mind. He informed himself thoroughly as to the facts. He mastered the controlling authorities. In the conduct of a case, whether he was prosecuting or defending, he was constantly aggressive. Always persuaded that his client's cause was just and that his adversary was in the wrong, he maintained his position and assailed those of his adversary with vehement energy. He was equally strong before courts and juries. He was well read in the law, and the straightforward and logical way in which he presented his views was persuasive with the court, while the earnestness and vigor of his speeches, their passionate intensity and sense of personal conviction generally carried away the minds of the jury. With him the law was strictly a practical science. It dealt, not with broad ideas of general jurisprudence, but with practical rules of human conduct. The characteristics of his mind was not so much breadth as clearness. There are many who have a wider range of vision; there

are few who see more plainly the matters in hand. His intelligence was singularly keen. He was usually content to devote it to the case he was conducting, but that case he saw through completely. His mind was a beacon that lighted the path before his feet, and lighted it so well that he seldom missed the way that led to success.

All men are much influenced by their physical characteristics, and Judge Martin was no exception. He was unusually small in stature, little over five feet in height, and slender in proportion. A small man is at a great disadvantage in the battle of life. He will be thrust aside or run over unless he asserts himself. Judge Martin realized this, and was determined that he would be neither run over nor thrust aside. The history of Europe would have been different had Napoleon been six inches taller, and Judge Martin would not have been so aggressive nor so punctilious had he been larger. As it was, he started out with the determination that all men should respect him and his rights. His courage, moral and physical, had no bounds. He was always ready to meet any issue, to measure swords with any antagonist and in any way. As long as you were courteous to him, he was courteous to you; but he was quick to resent a slight and vigorous in the defense of his personal dignity. To overcome as much as possible the smallness of his stature, he held himself very erect, so that he made the impression of being larger than he was.

His memory was wonderful. He never made a memorandum of anything, and yet forgot nothing. In the longest trial he took no notes, yet when he came to argue the case to the jury, he could give the substance of the testimony of every witness and often the exact words as taken down by the stenographer.

While he was quick to take offense, he was prompt to forgive, and his relations with his brother lawyers were warm and cordial. He was the most active of all in the organization of our State Bar Association. He took a deep interest in its proceedings, and was its third president. His soul was in his profession, and his heart was with his brethren at the har.

Before appointing him chancellor, Governor Clarke consulted most of the lawyers who practiced in that court. All of us, admiring his talents and sterling integrity, concurred in commending him for the place. Yet it was not without misgivings. He seemed too intense, too much of an advocate, for the bench. But we were all most agreeably surprised As a chancellor, he was thoroughly admirable. The clearness of his mind enabled him to see straight through the machinations of fraud, and the unveiling of fraud is one of the chancellor's most important duties. His wonderful memory enabled him to grasp all the threads of testimony as the evidence was read to him, and to detect every inconsistency. He rarely made a mistake in his finding of facts, and his splendid courage

prevented his ever shirking an issue. The knave who entered his court, or was brought into it, went forth not only exposed but rebuked. And, despite his impatience at the bar, on the bench he was a good listener, hearing counsel fully and considering all their arguments before announcing his decision. I have never practiced in a court from which there were so few appeals. Somehow he generally convinced both sides that he was right. During his whole term of eight years there was no appeal in any case in which I personally appeared. If we were not satisfied, both sides at any rate saw the futility of appealing. And I must say that as I look back upon his judgments I can recall none where substantial justice was not administered. His perception of the truth of the facts was so keen that he rarely erred in that regard, and his sense of justice was so strong that he allowed no technicalities to stand in its way. And he was most prompt in deciding; usually his judgments were rendered as soon as counsel had ceased to speak. In delivering them he always summed up the evidence and the arguments of counsel with such fullness as to demonstrate that he understood both the facts and the law. Fortunately he has left us in his volume of Martin's Chancery Reports an enduring proof of his talents and learning.

In that part of a chancellor's function that consists in the administration of estates he was wholly admirable. He was a sagacious business man, who conducted his own affairs with wisdom and foresight, and he applied business principles to everything about his court. Estates were administered with economy and efficiency. There was around him no coterie of favorites to be enriched with receiverships, masterships and the like. He chose the best man he could find for each task, and while the rewards were not niggardly they were not excessive; and he saw that the officer did his duty and that the best results were attained. And in looking after his records he was most diligent. He saw to the entry of every order, and took care that it was right.

Chief Justice Hill responded as follows:

Thomas B. Martin brought to the bench vigor, learning and a keen sense of the right of a case. These fine judicial qualities made his administration as chancellor a brilliant and memorable chapter in the history of the jurisprudence of the State.

His opinions, published in "Martin's Chancery Decisions," are in constant use by bench and bar. They have been frequently referred to by this court with respect and admiration. Lawyers are critics by training; they are schooled to reach for the weak places in evidence, arguments and opinions. They are ever seeking to insert the dart in the vulnerable heel of Achilles. But they are just critics, as ready to bestow praise when earned as censure when deserved. They are quicker to defend than to condemn. They must study human nature as presented across the counsel table, on the witness stand, in the jury box and on the bench.

They become vivisectionists of character, but their scalpel seeks to discover truth as that of their scientific brethren seeks to discover knowledge.

The most difficult position is judge of a trial court. His every word and action is under the watchful eye of these keen and trained analytical observers, jealous litigants and a thinking public. To win their approbation, he must possess poise and unflinching moral courage, a well as the requisite learning and ability. His decrees are constantly in review in the appellate court, where they have to meet the severest tests which the ingenuity of counsel can devise.

In this difficult station Chancellor Martin earned, and won, a State-wide reputation for learning, judicial capacity and right-mindedness. He created a respect for his court well worthy of emulation by all who sit in judgment. He was an intense man; he never did anything half-way; and he gave to his judicial work the best that was in him, whole-heartedly and completely.

The Court is pleased to hear these well deserved tributes to his memory. The resolutions will be recorded, the addresses filed, and the entire proceedings published in the Reports. In this way the Court will preserve the memory of this earnest man, accomplished lawyer and just judge.

APPENDIX

II.

OPINIONS NOT REPORTED.

Brewer v. Johnson; appeal from Lee Chancery Court; Jesse C. Hart, chancellor, on exchange of circuits; affirmed July 6, 1908; per Wood, J.

Tebbs v. Wiseman; appeal from Ashley Circuit Court; Henry W. Wells, judge; affirmed July 6, 1908; per Hill C. J.

Eoff v. Citizens' Bank of Harrison; appeal from Boone Chancery Court; T. H. Humphreys, chancellor; affirmed July 13, 1908; per Hill, C. L.

Black v. Roberson; appeal from Lafayette Circuit Court; George W.

Hays, judge; affirmed July 13, 1908; per Hill, C. J.

St. Louis, I. M. & S. Ry. Co. v. Coalson; appeal from Sebastian Circuit Court, Greenwood District; Daniel Hon, judge; affirmed June 22, 1908; per Hill C. J.

Blackburn v. Cherry; appeal from Pulaski Chancery Court; Jesse C. Hart, chancellor; affirmed October 12, 1908; per Hill, C. J.

Louisiana & Arkansas Ry. Co. v. Hobbs; appeal from Hempstead Circuit; Jacob M. Carter, judge; affirmed October 12, 1908; per Wood, J.

Hight v. Oates; appeal from Washington Circuit Court; J. S. Maples, judge; affirmed October 19, 1908; per McCulloch, J.

St. Louis S. W. Ry. Co. v. Wells; appeal from Monroe Circuit Court; Eugene Lankford, judge; affirmed October 19, 1908; per McCulloch, J.

III.

CASES DISPOSED OF ON MOTION.

Pearl Davis et al. v. A. F. Yopp et al.; Prairie Chancery Court; John M. Elliott, chancellor; compromised and appeal dismissed, September 14, 1908; per curiam.

Cache Valley Lumber Company v. Herbert Durham by next friend; Lawrence Circuit Court; Joseph W. Phillips, iudge; settled and cause dismissed, September 14, 1908; per curiam.

Sligo Wagon Wood Company v. C. P. Jones; Washington Circuit Court; J. W. Meeks, judge; affirmed under rule seven, September 14, 1908; per curiam.

S. H. Casey, as next friend of Eva E. Thomas et al. v. J. R. Willis, Admr. et al.; Poinsett Chancery Court; Edward D. Robertson, cnancellor; appeal dismissed for want of service, September 21, 1908; per curiam.

Cincinnati Cooperage Company v. Vian McCall; Searcy Circuit Court; Brice B. Hudgins, judge; affirmed as a delay case, October 5, 1908; per curiam.

Owosso Manufacturing Company v. Mattie H. Gann, guardian, etc.; Saline Circuit Court; W. H. Evans, judge; compromised and settled and cause dismissed, October 5, 1908; per curiam.

Reynolds, Davis & Company v. Singleterry; Scott Circuit Court; Jeptha H. Evans, judge on exchange of circuits; affirmed for non-compliance with rule nine, October 5, 1908; per curiam.

- J. M. Perrine, Ex parte; certiorari to Union Circuit Court; action of lower court denying bail reversed and petitioner allowed to give bail, October 12, 1908; per curiam.
- B. Faisst, et al. v. Fourche River Lumber Company; Perry Chancery Court; Jeremiah G. Wallace, chancellor; affirmed by consent, October 19, 1908; per curiam.
- J. F. Hasty & Sons v. Howard County; Howard Circuit Court; James S. Steel, judge; reversed on confession of error, October 26, 1908; per curiam.
- F. W. Maurice and Dalhoff Construction Company; Phillips Circuit Court; Hance N. Hutton, judge; compromised and judgment for amount agreed upon, October 26, 1908; per curiam.