Orval BURKHART v. STATE of Arkansas

CR 81-9

611 S.W. 2d 500

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered February 9, 1981

- 1. CRIMINAL PROCEDURE POSTCONVICTION RELIEF IN-CUSTODY REQUIREMENT. - The scope of the remedy for proceedings under Rule 37, A. R. Crim. P., Ark. Stat. Ann., Vol. 4A (Repl. 1977) is confined to a prisoner, in custody under sentence of a circuit court, thus, a petitioner, who was not in custody at the time of filing his petition, is entitled to no relief.
- 2. CRIMINAL PROCEDURE POSTCONVICTION RELIEF NO SUB-STITUTE FOR APPEAL. — Rule 37, A. R. Crim. P., Ark. Stat. Ann., Vol. 4A (Repl. 1977) is not a substitute for appeal.

Appeal from Polk Circuit Court, Gayle Ford, Judge; affirmed.

John W. Walker, for appellant.

860 [271

Steve Clark, Atty. Gen., by: Dennis R. Molock, Asst. Atty. Gen., for appellee.

RICHARD B. ADKISSON, Chief Justice. This appeal is from a judgment denying relief under Rule 37, Ark. Rules of Crim. Proc., on the ground that the petitioner was not in custody at the time the petition was filed.

In a jury trial on April 26, 1978, appellant, Orval Burkhart, was convicted of first degree assault and his punishment was fixed at \$375.00, from which there was no appeal.

The "Scope of the Remedy" for proceedings under Rule 37 is confined to "a prisoner, in custody under sentence of a circuit court . . . " The petitioner in this case was not in custody at the time of filing his petition and, therefore, was entitled to no relief. See *Hartsell* v. *State*, 254 Ark. 687, 495 S.W. 2d 523 (1973).

Rule 37 is not a substitute for appeal. *Clark* v. *State*, 255 Ark. 13, 498 S.W. 2d 657 (1973).

Affirmed.