certain real estate in Pulaski county, Arkansas, sold and and conveyed it to Cotter, in September, 1836, for the sum of \$4,200, payable in four equal annual installments, for which Cotter executed his four several bonds, payable the first of August, 1837, 1838, 1839 and 1840, to her as executrix of the said Andrew, deceased, which they exhibit. That Cotter, at the same time, for the purpose of securing the payment of his said four bonds, conveyed the said lands and others to Wm. E. Woodruff and Charles Rapley, in trust. That afterwards, in August, 1837, *Cot- [*448 ter conveyed the lands sold to him by Mary to Field, in consideration whereof. Field covenanted with Cotter to pay off the four bonds of Cotter to Mary, as executrix, and to save Cotter harmless from them. That in February, 1845, the trustees regularly sold the property conveyed to them by Cotter for the aggregate sum of \$2,224.68. That about the time of that sale, but before it, Field proposed to Mary to pay the whole of said debts in certain property. which was declined. That previous to said sale, Field had paid \$1,095 to the credit of the bonds, but that Cotter had never paid anything, and had removed to parts unknown; and that, after deducting all proper credits, there still remained due upon the said bonds upwards of \$4,000. That there has never been any administration upon the estates of either the said Andrew, or of the said Mary, and that neither of them

trix. That Mary died in Kentucky, where the complainants all reside, in the year 1848. That Mary, after the death of her husband, and while a femme sole and having an absolute title to

Field deniurred to the bill for want

447*] WORSHAM AND WIFE ET AL.

FIELD.

The cases of Lemon's Heirs v. Rector et al., 15 Ark. 435; Peay et al. v. Anthony, ante; and Slocomb, Richards & Co. v. Blackburn et al., ante, that the administrator, and not the heir, is the proper person to sue for a debt due the deceased, approved.

Appeal from the Circuit Court of Pulaski County in Chancery.

HON. WILLIAM H. FEILD, Circuit Judge.

Trapnall, for the appellants.

Fowler, for the appellees.

SCOTT, J. The bill was filed in Oc- owe any debts. Prayer, that Field tober, 1849. The complainants allege may be decreed to pay the complainants that the wife and the minors are the the residue still unpaid on the four children and heirs at law of Mary Gra-bonds of Cotter, and for general relief. ham, deceased, the sole devisee of Andrew Graham, deceased, the former of equity upon its face, and besides, ashusband of Mary, who was his execu- signed the special ground that the complainants had shown no interest in, or title to, the subject matter of the suit, and no right to call him to account.

The court below sustained the demurrer, and dismissing the complainants' bill, they appealed to this court.

The decree of the court below is fully sustained by the decision of this court in the case of Lemon's Heirs v. Rector et al., 15 Ark. R. 436, the doctrine of which has been since applied in the cases of Peay et al. v. Anthony, 18 Ark. R., ante., and in that of Slocomb, Richards & Co. v. Blackburn et al., decided at the present term.

The decree will be affirmed.

Absent, Hon. Thos. B. Hanly.

1. See note 1, Lemon's Heirs v. Rector, 15-443.

Cited:-41-316.