## 580\*7 \*THOMPSON v.

## KIRKPATRICK.

A writ of garnishment cannot be issued from the circuit court upon a judgment rendered by a justice of the peace, and filed in the circuit court under the statute. Dig., ch. 95, part 2, sec. 129, 140.

Where the plea is an answer to but a part of the plaintiff's demand, and he demurs to the plea instead of taking judgment for the part unanswered, he does not thereby discontinue his action.

Error to the Circuit Court of Yell County.

HON. JOHN CLENDENIN, Circuit Judge.

. Howell, for the plaintiff.

Williams & Williams, for the defendant.

ENGLISH, C. J. On the 6th of March, Thompson, in the Yell circuit court, mand, etc. The action was founded on a writing obligatory for \$300, executed by the de- the court sustained the demurrer, and fendant on the 26th December, 1853, to the defendant resting, final judgment Jonathan Smith (next friend to W. was rendered against him for the D. Smith), due 1st January, 1856; and amount due upon the bond sued on : by the said Johnathan Smith assigned and he brought error. to the plaintiff on the 12th March, 1855.

facts:

On the 11th February, 1856, one 96, part 2, sec. 139. Thomas J. Waters recovered a judg-

term of said circuit court; which was served upon defendant, on the 16th August, 1856. That on the 1st day of the return term, Waters filed his allegations and interrogatories; to which defendant answered that he was indebted to the said Simeon Kirkpatrick, plaintiff in this suit, in the amount of the writing obligatory sued on, etc., and that he had instituted suit thereon against defendant, etc. That by virtue of said garnishment, etc., defendant had become liable to pay said Waters the amount of his said recovery before the justice of the peace, and that he was entitled to judgment therefor; against defendant, in said circuit court, upon said garnishment, etc. And that the plaintiff in this suit (Kirkpatrick) ought not 1856, Simeon Kirkpatrick commenced further to maintain his action against an action of debt against Robert defendant as to so much of his de-

The plaintiff demurred to the plea:

Any person recovering a judgment for more than \$10 before a justice of At the September term, 1856, the de- the peace, may obtain and file a tranfendant, Thompson, filed a special plea script thereof in the office of the clerk in bar of part of the plaintiff's demand, of the circuit court, etc., and cause it setting up substantially the following to be entered upon the docket for judgments and decrees, etc. Dig., ch.

"Every such judgment, from the ment before a justice of the peace of time of filing the transcript thereof, Yell county, against John H. Jones shall be a lien on the real estate of the and Simeon Kirkpatrick, the plaint- defendant in the county, to the same iff in this suit, for \$100 debt, \$3.50 extent as a judgment of the circuit, damages, and for 75 cents cost, court of the same county, and shall be 581\*] \*On the 11th of August, 1856, carried into execution in the same. Waters filed a transcript of this judg- manner, and with like effect, as the ment in the office of the clerk of the judgments of such circuit court; but Yell circuit court: and the next day no execution shall be sued out of the the clerk issued a writ of garnishment circuit court thereon, until an executhereon against defendant Thompson, tion shall have been issued by a jusreturnable to the following September tice, and returned that the defendant has no goods or chattels whereof to levy the same." Id. sec. 140.

The object of this statute was to en-582\*] able the plaintiff in a jus\*tice's judgment to obtain satisfaction thereof by a sale of the real estate of the debtor, which cannot be done by an execution issuing from the justice. Neither this, nor any other statute, authorizes the issuance of a garnishment from the clerk's office upon such judgment; nor the determination of such garnishment in the circuit court.

The plea was therefore bad in substance on this ground, to say nothing of any other, and the demurrer thereto properly sustained.

But it is assigned for error that, inasmuch as the plea was an answer to but part of the plaintiff's demand, by demurring thereto, instead of taking judgment for the part unanswered, the plaintiff discontinued his action. This is not regarded as the law under our practice. 1 Sterling v. Sherwood, 20 John R. 206. Beebe v. Sutton, 7 Ark. 405. On the filing of the plea the plaintiff might have taken a default for so much of his demand as was not answered by it. But it was just as well for him to take judgment for the entire demand, as he did, after the demurrer was sustained to the plea, and the defendant rested. Very v. Watkins et al., 18-546.

The judgment is affirmed. Absent, Hon. C. C. Scott.

<sup>1.</sup> See Richardson v. Williams, 37-542, to same effect.