

William A. GRAHAM v. STATE of Arkansas  
RC 90-19 788 S.W.2d 243  
Supreme Court of Arkansas  
Opinion delivered May 7, 1990

APPEAL & ERROR — MOTION FOR RULE ON THE CLERK DENIED. —

Where the attorney for the appellant did not concede that it was his fault that the record was not filed, and where other good cause was not shown, the motion for rule on the clerk was denied.

Motion for Rule on the Clerk; denied.

*Witt Law Firm*, by: *Ernie Witt*, for appellant.

No response.

PER CURIAM. William A. Graham, by his attorney, has filed a motion for rule on the clerk.

The motion admits that the record was not timely filed and that it was no fault of the appellant.

[1] However, the motion does not state good cause for granting the motion as discussed in our per curiam issued February 5, 1979, 265 Ark. 964. If the attorney for Graham will concede that it was his fault that the record was not filed, or if other good cause is shown, then the motion will be granted. The present motion for rule on the clerk is denied.