## Sheldon Paul MANGIAPANE v. STATE of Arkansas CA CR 92-1079 862 S.W.2d 258

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered October 4, 1993

APPEAL & ERROR — NOTICE OF APPEAL — WHEN TIMELY — BELATED APPEAL GRANTED UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES. — Where appellant filed his notice of appeal eight minutes before the judgment was entered and while State v. Joshua, 307 Ark. 79, 818 S.W.2d 249 (1991) was still good law, providing that a notice of appeal filed minutes before entry of judgment was timely filed, the Court of Appeals improvidently dismissed this appeal; some weeks later the Arkansas Supreme Court, in Kelly v. Kelly, 310 Ark. 244, 835 S.W.2d 869 (1992), overruled Joshua and held that notices of appeal in criminal and civil cases, in order to be effective and timely, must be filed subsequent to entry of judgment, but decided to grant belated appeals in appropriate circumstances where the judgment involved was entered prior to July 1, 1993.

Motion to File Belated Appeal; granted.

Terri L. Harris, for appellant.

No response.

PER CURIAM. Sheldon Paul Mangiapane, the appellant in this case, moves for permission to file a belated appeal pursuant to Ark. R. Crim. P. 36.9. In support of his motion he shows this court that he filed his notice of appeal at 8:37 a.m. on June 22, 1992, and judgment was not entered until eight minutes later at 8:45 a.m. on that same date. The Court of Appeals dismissed the appeal on July 7, 1993, for the reason that the notice of appeal was prematurely filed, and, accordingly, the appeal was not properly lodged. Mangiapane v. State, 43 Ark. App. 19, 858 S.W.2d 128 (1993). The Court of Appeals then denied rehearing on August 25, 1993. This motion for belated appeal was filed on September 1, 1993.

We will treat this motion as a petition for review of the Court of Appeals decision pursuant to Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 2-4 as well as a motion for belated appeal. At the time the appellant filed his notice of appeal on June 22, 1992, State v. Joshua, 307 Ark. 79. 818 S.W.2d 249 (1991) was still good law. Under Joshua, we accepted a notice of appeal that was filed minutes before entry of judgment as timely filed. It was only some weeks later on July 13, 1993, that we overruled Joshua and held that notices of appeal in criminal and civil cases, in order to be effective and timely, must be filed subsequent to entry of judgment. Kelly v. Kelly, 310 Ark. 244, 835 S.W.2d 869 (1992). Because of the sweeping impact of the Kelly decision, we further decided to grant belated appeals in appropriate circumstances where the judgment involved was entered prior to July 1, 1993. See Tucker v. State, 311 Ark. 446, 844 S.W.2d 335 (1993) (per curiam); In Re Belated Criminal Appeals, 313 Ark. 729, 856 S.W.2d 9 (1993) (per curiam).

[1] As this filing of the notice of appeal and entry of judgment occurred before *Kelly* v. *Kelly*, *supra* and at a time when the *Joshua* decision had not been overruled, the Court of Appeals improvidently dismissed this appeal. We, therefore, reverse that decision.

The motion for belated appeal is granted. The Clerk of the Supreme Court is directed to reinstate the appeal for a determination on the merits.