	PROPST ν . State	
1102	Cite as 326 Ark. 1102 (1996)	[326

Johnny Mark PROPST v. STATE of Arkansas

CR 96-633

934 S.W.2d 533

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered December 23, 1996

CONTEMPT — EXTENSION GRANTED BUT BRIEF NOT FILED — SHOW-CAUSE ORDER ISSUED. — Where appellant's attorney requested and was granted a thirty-day extension to file appellant's brief, but no brief was timely filed, appellant's attorney was ordered to appear to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of court for his failure to file appellant's brief in a timely manner.

Order to Appear and Show Cause.

Joe F. Atkinson, Jr., for appellant.

No response.

PER CURIAM. Appellant, Johnny Mark Propst, has been convicted of first-degree murder and sentenced to forty years' impris-

	Propst v. State	
Ark.]	Cite as 326 Ark. 1102 (1996)	1103

onment. His attorney, Joe F. Atkinson Jr., requested and was granted a thirty-day extension to August 13, 1996, to file Appellant's brief, but no brief has been filed.

[1] Joe F. Atkinson Jr. is therefore ordered to appear before this court on the 27th day of January, 1997, at 9:00 a.m., to show cause why he should not be held in contempt of this court for his failure to file Appellant's brief in a timely manner.