

---

Daniel W. JOHNSON *v.* STATE of Arkansas

CR 00-476

17 S.W.3d 499

Supreme Court of Arkansas  
Opinion delivered June 1, 2000

APPEAL & ERROR — PETITION FOR REVIEW — GRANTED. — Having determined that review was proper on the issue of whether appellant's conviction was properly affirmed for noncompliance with Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-2, and because determination of the issue involved the interpretation and application of the rule, the supreme court granted review on that question only.

Petition for Review; granted.

*The James Law Firm*, by: *Steven R. McNeely* and *William O. "Bill" James, Jr.*, for appellant.

*Mark Pryor*, Att'y Gen., by: *C. Joseph Cordi, Jr.*, Ass't Att'y Gen., for appellee.

PER CURIAM. [1] Appellant Danny W. Johnson has filed a petition for review pursuant to Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 1-2 and 2-4, stating that he is entitled to a review because the Arkansas Court of Appeals erroneously affirmed appellant's second-degree murder conviction for noncompliance with our abstracting requirements. We have determined that review is proper on the issue of whether appellant's conviction was properly affirmed for noncompliance with Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4-2. Because determination of this issue involves the interpretation and application of Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-2, we grant review on this question only.