## ATLAS SUPPLY COMPANY V. MCAMIS.

## 4-2629

## Opinion delivered July 4, 1932.

1. MORTGAGES—ACKNOWLEDGMENT.—Under Crawford & Moses' Digest, § 1521, omission of the word "consideration" or words of similar import in the acknowledgment of a mortgage renders the record thereof no notice to third parties.

2. MORTGAGES—FILING MORTGAGE.—A filed mortgage, to constitute notice to third parties, must contain the indorsement, signed by the mortgagee or his agent, *viz.*, "This instrument to be filed but not recorded," as required by Crawford & Moses' Digest, § 7384.

Appeal from Logan Circuit Court, Southern District; J. O. Kincannon, Judge; affirmed.

R. S. Dunn, for appellant.

Evans & Evans, for appellee.

HUMPHREYS, J. Appellant intervened in an attachment suit brought by appellee against J. H. Flower in the circuit court of the Southern District of Logan County alleging that prior to the issuance and levy of the writ of attachment upon the property involved, J. H. Flower had executed a mortgage to it upon said property to secure an indebtedness of \$1,554.88 and that its mortgage lien thereon was superior to the attachment lien. The intervention contained a prayer for the dismissal of the attachment and for the enforcement of the mortgage lien against the property.

## ATLAS SUPPLY CO. V. MCAMIS.

Appellee filed an answer denying the material allegations contained in the intervention and praying that its attachment be sustained, and that the property levied upon be sold to pay the debt J. H. Flower owed him.

The cause was submitted upon the pleadings and testimony, which resulted in a personal judgment against J. H. Flower in favor of both appellant and appellee for the respective amounts claimed by them, and a finding and judgment declaring appellee's attachment lien upon the property superior to appellant's lien.

This appeal is for the sole purpose of ascertaining whether the trial court erred in adjudging appellee's lien on the property paramount to appellant's lien thereon.

The mortgage executed to appellant was not acknowledged as required by § 1521 of Crawford & Moses' Digest in order to give it any validity as to third parties. It omitted the word "consideration" or words of similar import, and the attempted record of same constituted no notice to third parties of the existence thereof. Although good as between the parties thereto, as to third parties it was just as if it had never been executed or filed. *Drew County Bank & Trust Company* v. *Sorben*, 181 Ark. 943, 28 S. W. (2d) 730.

Again, had appellant's mortgage been properly acknowledged, the filing thereof was fatally defective and was no notice to third parties of the existence thereof. In order to constitute notice to third parties of the existence of the mortgage by filing only, it was necessary that appellee or some one for him sign the following in dorsement: "This instrument to be filed but not recorded." Crawford & Moses' Digest, § 7384. Appellant's mortgage had no such indorsement upon it.

The judgment of the trial court is therefore affirmed.

ARK.]

1169