## Joseph SHERMAN v. STATE of Arkansas

CR 08-523

284 S.W.3d 529

## Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered May 22, 2008

APPEAL & ERROR — ADMISSION OF FAULT — MOTION GRANTED. — In accordance with *McDonald v. State*, appellant's attorney candidly admitted that the record was tendered late due to an error on his part; the motion for rule on clerk was therefore granted.

Motion for Rule on Clerk; granted.

Daniel Ritchey, for appellant.

No response.

PER CURIAM. Appellant Joseph Sherman, by and through his attorney, has filed a motion for rule on clerk and affidavit. His attorney, Daniel Ritchey, states in the motion that the record was tendered late due to an error on his part because he calculated the maximum seven-month extension from the date of filing his notice of appeal and not from the judgment or order as required by Arkansas Rules of Appellate Procedure – Civil 5(b)(E)(2).

This court clarified its treatment of motions for rule on clerk and motions for belated appeals in *McDonald v. State*, 356 Ark. 106, 146 S.W.3d 883 (2004). There we said that there are only two possible reasons for an appeal not being timely perfected: either the party or attorney filing the appeal is at fault, or, there is "good reason." *McDonald v. State*, 356 Ark. at 116, 146 S.W.3d at 891. We explained:

Where an appeal is not timely perfected, either the party or attorney filing the appeal is at fault, or there is good reason that the appeal was not timely perfected. The party or attorney filing the appeal is therefore faced with two options. First, where the party or attorney filing the appeal is at fault, fault should be admitted by affidavit filed with the motion or in the motion itself. There is no advantage in declining to admit fault where fault exists. Second, where the party or attorney believes that there is good reason the appeal was not perfected, the case for good reason can be made in the motion, and this court will decide whether good reason is present.

Id., 146 S.W.3d at 891 (footnote omitted). While this court no longer requires an affidavit admitting fault before we will consider the motion, an attorney should candidly admit fault where he has erred and is responsible for the failure to perfect the appeal. See id.

[1] In accordance with McDonald v. State, supra, Mr. Ritchey has candidly admitted fault. The motion is, therefore, granted. A copy of this opinion will be forwarded to the Committee on Professional Conduct.

Motion granted.