Larry DONIHOO v. STATE of Arkansas

CR 95-1194

912 S.W.2d 937

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered January 16, 1996

- 1. APPEAL & ERROR ATTORNEY'S DUTY TO FILE RECORD ON TIME. It is an attorney's duty to file the record on time.
- 2. APPEAL & ERROR RULE ON CLERK. Where the attorney offered no explanation why the record was late, the supreme court held that if the attorney would concede by affidavit that it was his fault that the record was not filed on time, or if other good cause was shown, then the motion would be granted.

Motion for Rule on the Clerk; denied.

Garnett E. Norwood, for appellant.

No response.

PER CURIAM. Larry Donihoo has filed this Motion for Rule on the Clerk through his attorney, Garnett E. Norwood. His attorney does not admit fault, but states that because he timely tendered a partial record, he is entitled to have the record filed and the case docketed by the Clerk.

The chronology of this appeal is as follows:

Judgment and Commitment Order	
Entered	4/28/95
Notice of Appeal and Designation of	, ,
Record	5/23/95
Order for Extension of Time to prepare	
transcript to November 15, 1995	5/31/95
Petition for certiorari filed along with a	
partial record	11/15/95
Transcript tendered	11/15/95 12/15/95

[1, 2] It is an attorney's duty to file the record on time. In this case, Mr. Donihoo offers no explanation as to why the record was late. See Ark. R. App. R. 5. If the attorney will concede by affidavit that it was his fault that the record was not filed on time, or if other good cause is shown, then the motion will be

134

[323

Ark.]

135

granted. Harkness v. State, 264 Ark. 561, 572 S.W.2d 835 (1978).

Motion denied.