

Harold HENDERSON v. Fred D. DAVIS III, Judge
91-93 816 S.W.2d 612
Supreme Court of Arkansas
Opinion delivered November 4, 1991

APPEAL & ERROR — CLERK INSTRUCTED TO DISMISS MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION — ALSO INSTRUCTED TO ACCEPT NO FURTHER FILINGS. — The clerk was directed to dismiss the motion for reconsideration and to accept no further filings by petitioner in this regard, where petitioner was twice denied a reconsideration of his motion to have the Attorney General print his pro se brief because petitioner failed to make the requisite showing of merit in this civil suit, and where petitioner continues to file pleadings concerning the printing of his prose brief that are without merit and burdensome to the administrative affairs of the court.

Motion for Reconsideration to File Handwritten Brief; denied.

Appellant, pro se.

No response.

PER CURIAM. The petitioner, Harold Henderson, asks the court to reconsider his motion to have the Attorney General print

his pro se brief. This court has twice denied the same motion on June 17, 1991, and again on October 14, 1991.

This is a civil case and Henderson has not made the requisite showing that his suit has merit. *See Glick v. Lockhart*, 218 Ark. 417, 706 S.W.2d 178 (1986).

The appellant continues to file pleadings in this court concerning the printing of his pro se brief, which are without merit and which are burdensome to the administrative affairs of the court. The clerk is therefore directed to dismiss this motion for reconsideration and to accept no further filings by Harold Henderson in this regard.

It is so ordered.
