227

Term, 1860.]

The State vs. Grace.

## THE STATE VS. GRACE.

In an indictment for playing cards on Sunday, it is not necessary to allege the name of the game played. (Stogden vs. The State, 18 Ark. 186.)

Error to Jefferson Circuit Court.

Hon. John C. Murray, Circuit Judge.

Mr. Attorney General Hollowell, for the State.

Mr. Justice Compton delivered the opinion of the Court. The defendant in error was indicted under sec. 9, chap. 51, Gould's Dig., for playing cards on Sunday.

On motion of the defendant, the court quashed the indict-

[January

ment, because it did not allege the name of the game played. According to the principle decided in Stogden vs. State, 18 Ark. 186, such allegation was not necessary. See also The State vs. Purnell, 16 Ark. 507-8.

The judgment must be reversed.