MAY V. HUTSON.

MAY v. HUTSON.

Decided February 21, 1891.

Exempt property_Schedule-Amendment.

A schedule of exempt property filed before a justice of the peace, which is insufficient because it does not set out all the debtor's property nor allege that he is a resident of the State, may be amended in the circuit court on appeal.

APPEAL from Johnson Circuit Court.

JORDAN E. CRAVENS, Judge.

Appellee in a justice's court filed a schedule of the property he desired to claim as exempt from execution, under section 3006 of Mansf. Dig., but failed to allege that it contained a complete description of his property, or that he was a resident of the State. Upon appeal to the circuit court he offered to amend the schedule. The court refused to permit the amendment, but held the schedule sufficient.

Sol F. Clark for appellant.

The schedule was defective. It does not purport to contain all the debtor's property. Mansf. Dig., sec. 3006; 41 Ark., 249. Nor does it show that he was a resident of the State. 41 Ark., 249.

Amendment of schedule.

PER CURIAM. The petition for a supesedeas filed by the appellee is defective in two particulars, viz., it does not state that he is a resident of the State, or that it contains a description of all the defendant's property, both real and personal. Without the allegations of both facts, it was insufficient to warrant the issuance of a supersedeas. Brown v. Peters, 53 Ark., 182. The petition is subject to amendment. If the facts justify it, both defects may be cured by amendment.

Reverse and remand for further proceedings.