Johnson v. Little Rock Furniture Manufacturing Company.

4-7260

178 S. W. 2d 249

Opinion delivered March 6, 1944.

- 1. Workmen's compensation—findings of commission.—In a proceeding by appellant to recover compensation for injuries sustained while in the employ of appellee, the holding of the commission that discontinuing payments to appellant on November 30, 1942, was justified was sustained by substantial testimony to the effect that, at the time, appellant was as well able to work as he had ever been.
- 2. WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—APPEAL AND ERROR.—The findings of fact made by the commission are by the Workmen's Compensation Law (§ 25b of Act 319 of 1939) given the same force and effect as the verdict of a jury which is that the appellate court will not set them aside if based upon substantial evidence.

Appeal from Pulaski Circuit Court, Second Division; Lawrence C. Auten, Judge; affirmed.

Tom J. Terral, for appellant.

Buzbee, Harrison & Wright, for appellee.

McHaney, J. Appellant filed a claim with the Workmen's Compensation Commission against appellees, who are his employer and its insurance carrier, for compensation based on an accidental injury received by him either in May or August, 1942. His claim was denied by the commission, and he appealed to the circuit court of Pulaski county, where the action of the commission was affirmed, and he has appealed to this court.

The commission found that appellant, when a small boy, suffered from a disease in his hip which resulted in a shortening of his right leg and necessitated his wearing a raised heel on his shoe. This fact was admitted. It also found that he suffered an accidental injury in May, 1942, while working for appellee employer, was disabled and was paid compensation for three weeks' disability; and that he returned to work for the employer and worked to August 7, 1942, when he suffered a second injury to his back, but continued to work until September 30, 1942, when compensation payments were

Based on these findings, the commission held that appellees were justified in suspending compensation payments to appellant on November 30, 1942, and that the weight of the evidence is against appellant's contention that any disability from which he now suffers is the result of the accidental injury received by him either in May or in August, 1942, and denied the claim.

joint, and to the right hip, which in his opinion was aggravated by the accidental injury suffered by appellant,

resulting in total disability.

The judgment of the circuit court affirming the action of the commission is accordingly affirmed.

as a jury, which is that this court will not set aside the verdict of a jury, or of the court so sitting, if it is based upon substantial evidence. So, here, it is conceded, and we so find, that the findings of the commission are supported by substantial evidence if not by the weight thereof, as the commission thought. Many of the commission's findings of fact are listed in the opinion under "Conclusions of Law." We think the better form would be to list the findings of fact and conclusions of law under those headings, but a fact found continues to be a fact, even

Robins, J., dissents.

though listed as a conclusion of law.