ARKANSAS STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION v. Carlton A. SMITH et ux

74-260

519 S.W. 2d 64

Opinion delivered February 17, 1975

Eminent domain — value of land — offer made to owner, admissibility of. — A landowner's statement on cross-examination about an offer made for his land is not admissible as proof of value but may support a verdict when it is not objected to, and when it is not shown that the offer was not an adequate basis for landowner's opinion.

Appeal from Craighead Circuit Court, Jonesboro District, John S. Moshy, Judge; affirmed.

Thomas B. Keys and Philip N. Gowen, for appellant.

Douglas Bradley and Jon R. Coleman, for appellees.

George Rose Smith, Justice. In this condemnation case the Highway Commission appeals from a verdict and judgment fixing the landowners' compensation at \$4,000. The testimony of the landowners' expert witness, a professional appraiser with 44 years' experience, amply supports the verdict. Nor was there any error in the court's refusal to strike the "before" value testimony of Carlton A. Smith, one of the owners. He had lived in the community for 27 years and had watched the sales of land in the area through the years. He valued his farm at \$2,000 an acre and stated on crossexamination, without objection, that he had been offered that amount for his land. Such an offer, like hearsay, is not admissible as proof of value, but we have held that hearsay testimony, if not objected to, may support a verdict. Ark. State Highway Commn. v. Bradford, 252 Ark. 1037, 482 S.W. 2d 107 (1972). An offer falls in the same category. It was not shown that the offer in question was not an adequate basis for Smith's opinion.

Affirmed.