Jeff BEALER v. STATE of Arkansas

CR 93-365

862 S.W.2d 259

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered October 4, 1993

1. APPEAL & ERROR — CRIMINAL APPEAL — FAILURE TO TIMELY INFORM COUNSEL OF DESIRE TO APPEAL. — Where a finding that a petitioner did not inform his attorney of his desire to appeal in a

timely manner is based merely on weighing the credibility of the witnesses at the evidentiary hearing, the appellate court will accept the finding of the trial court as it is the task of the trial court to assess the credibility of witnesses.

- 2. APPEAL & ERROR TIMELY FILING PRO SE NOTICE OF APPEAL. Where a timely pro se notice of appeal was filed by petitioner, that filing was proof of the convicted defendant's desire to appeal.
- 3. APPEAL & ERROR ABANDONING APPEAL NOT JUSTIFIED —
 TIMELY PRO SE NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED COUNSEL WHO HAD NOT
 BEEN RELIEVED WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR BEING AWARE OF FILINGS. —
 Counsel's explanation that he was simply unaware of the timely
 notice of appeal was not sufficient cause in itself to find that he was
 justified in abandoning the appeal; where there was a timely pro se
 notice of appeal filed and counsel had not been relieved by the trial
 court of his obligation as counsel, he must be held responsible for
 being aware of filings in the case in which he remained attorney-ofrecord.
- 4. APPEAL & ERROR INDIGENCY PETITION NOT CONTESTED GRANTED. Where petitioner filed an affidavit of indigency that was not contested by the state, he was granted permission to proceed as an indigent in this appeal.

Pro Se Motion for Belated Appeal; *Phillip Shirron*, Judge; granted.

Appellant, pro se.

No response.

PER CURIAM. On July 20, 1992, the petitioner Jeff Bealer was found guilty by a jury of delivery of a controlled substance and sentenced to thirty years imprisonment. A fine of \$10,000 was also imposed. A notice of appeal was filed, but the record was not tendered to the appellate court. Petitioner subsequently sought to lodge the record belatedly. Petitioner was represented at trial by Mr. J. Skylar Tapp, who filed an affidavit in response to the motion. We remanded the matter to the trial court for an evidentiary hearing, and the trial court's Findings of Fact and the record of the evidentiary hearing are now before us. The trial court found that petitioner waived his right to appeal by not directly informing Tapp within thirty days after petitioner's trial ended on August 24, 1992, that he desired an appeal.

[1-3] In those cases where a finding that a petitioner did not inform his attorney of his desire to appeal in a timely manner is

354 [314

based merely on weighing the credibility of the witnesses at the evidentiary hearing, this court will accept the finding of the trial court as it is the task of the trial court to assess the credibility of witnesses. See Allen v. State, 277 Ark. 380, 641 S.W.2d 710 (1982). Here, however, a timely pro se notice of appeal was filed by petitioner on September 21, 1992. We said in Gay v. State, 288 Ark. 589, 707 S.W.2d 320 (1986), that the filing of a timely notice of appeal pro se is proof of the convicted defendant's desire to appeal. Tapp's explanation that he was simply unaware of the timely notice of appeal is not sufficient cause in itself to find that he was justified in abandoning the appeal.

[4] As there was a timely notice of appeal filed in the case and Tapp had not been relieved by the trial court of his obligations as counsel, he must be held responsible for being aware of filings in the case in which he remained attorney-of-record. Tapp is directed to proceed as counsel in the appeal and file a petition for writ of certiorari in this court within thirty days to bring up the entire record, or that part of the record, which is necessary to the appeal. Petitioner has filed an affidavit of indigency which the state has not contested. He is granted permission to proceed as an indigent in this appeal. See Strode v. State, 301 Ark. 351, 783 S.W.2d 859 (1990).

Motion granted.