GLOVER v. SHIRRON Cite as 314 Ark. 226 (1993)

Kenneth W. GLOVER v. Honorable Phillip H. SHIRRON 93-887 861 S.W.2d 110

> Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered September 20, 1993

- 1. COURTS JURISDICTION OF ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT MANDAMUS REQUESTED. — The Arkansas Supreme Court had jurisdiction in a child custody case where relief in the form of mandamus was requested.
- 2. PARENT & CHILD EXPIRATION OF SUPERVISED VISITATION RIGHTS STAYED PENDING APPEAL. — Where the stay presented no impediment to appeal and did not interfere with the rights of the parties or with the chancellor's order, the court stayed the expiration of supervised visitation rights of the mother pending appeal.
- 3. PARENT & CHILD DURATION OF ORDER REQUIRING DHS SUPERVISION NOT IN RECORD — COURT COULD NOT CONSIDER STAY OF THAT MOTION. — Where the chancellor, in his capacity as juvenile judge, ordered the Arkansas Department of Human Services to supervise visits with the mother after finding probable cause that the daughters were dependent/neglected, but the duration of the supervision by DHS was not revealed by the record, the court was unable to consider that order.

Ark.]

## GLOVER V. SHIRRON Cite as 314 Ark. 226 (1993)

Petition for Permanent and Temporary Writ of Mandamus and Motion for Emergency Accelerated Hearing; denied; Motion for Temporary Stay Pending Appeal; denied in part; granted in part.

Bridewell & Bridewell, by: Laurie A. Bridewell, for petitioner.

Winston Bryant, Att'y Gen., by: Ann Purvis, Asst. Att'y Gen., for respondent.

PER CURIAM. Petitioner Kenneth W. Glover moves this court for a writ of mandamus, a stay of the chancellor's order entered June 17, 1993, pending appeal, and an emergency accelerated hearing. We deny most of the relief requested but temporarily stay that part of the chancellor's order which would terminate the supervised visitation of the two children pending the appeal of this matter.

Petitioner Glover contends that his former wife, Paula Langford, sexually abused the couple's two minor daughters during visitation. He sought termination of those visitation rights in Langford. The chancellor's order following trial determined that the proof of sexual abuse was insufficient to terminate visitation. In that June 17, 1993 order, he continued visitation rights in Langford predicated on supervision by Langford's mother. Supervised visitation, according to the order, would terminate December 31, 1993.

Petitioner Glover appealed the chancellor's order to the Arkansas Court of Appeals by notice of appeal filed on August 6, 1993. He also allegedly has refused to permit visitation with Langford, which led to a show cause hearing for contempt set for August 13, 1993. Glover's petition for mandamus was an attempt to prevent the contempt hearing from transpiring and to prohibit the chancellor from acting further in this case. In that same petition, Glover argued that a stay of the chancellor's order was necessary because supervised visitation could well expire before an appeal was finalized, thereby subjecting the daughters to potential risk.

[1, 2] This court has jurisdiction of this petition because relief in the form of mandamus was requested. Ark. Const. art 7, § 4; Ark. Sup. Ct. R. (a)6. We see no reason not to stay the expiration of supervised visitation pending appeal. Such a stay presents no impediment to the appeal. Nor do we anticipate any interference with the rights of the parties or with the chancellor's order resulting from such a stay.

[3] We do note that there is an additional order by the chancellor acting in his capacity as juvenile judge which was entered June 15, 1993, and which finds probable cause that the daughters are dependent/neglected. The order mandates supervision by the Arkansas Department of Human Services during the girls' visits with Langford and was apparently precipitated by a more recent allegation of sexual abuse made against the mother. The status and duration of this supervision by DHS is not revealed in the record before us, and we are unable to consider that order.

Supervised visits by Mrs. Hobby, the girls' maternal grandmother, should continue under the chancellor's June 17, 1993 order pending the appeal of this matter to the Arkansas Court of Appeals. Expiration of these supervised visits under the chancellor's order is stayed pending appeal.