James L. DAUER v. Andrew G. PONDER, Circuit Judge, Third Judicial District

81-195

623 S.W. 2d 3

Supreme Court of Arkansas Opinion delivered October 26, 1981

- 1. APPEAL & ERROR WRIT OF MANDAMUS DENIED CAUSE OF ACTION NOT FILED EFFECT. The petition which is styled a Petition for Writ of Mandamus is denied because the record does not reflect that a cause of action has been filed.
- 2. Trial trial court does not inject itself into adversary proceeding cannot advise party of correctness or worth of lawsuit. People who choose to represent themselves necessarily must succeed or fail on their knowledge or ability; and inasmuch as it is not the role of a trial judge to inject himself into an adversary proceeding in the aid of either party, held, the trial court, correctly, avoided advising the petitioner about the correctness or worth of his lawsuit.

Petition for writ of mandamus; denied.

James L. Dauer, pro se.

Steve Clark, Atty. Gen., by: Rodney E. Slater, Asst. Atty. Gen., for respondent.

PER CURIAM. The petitioner has filed what is styled a Petition for a Writ of Mandamus. It is denied because the record, such as it is, does not reflect a cause of action has been filed. The first "pleading" reads:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, ARK.

JAMES L. DAUER

PLAINTIFF

VS. NO. CIV-81-6-

RANDOLPH COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER, INC.

DEFENDANT

O-P-I-N-I-O-N

As a Tax Paying Citizen of Randolph County do: I have under the Freedom of Information Act of 1967 — 12-2801—12-2807 the right to examination and right to copy the records of Public Meetings both formal or informal, special or regular of the Randolph County Medical Center, Inc., (Randolph County Board of Governors).

James L. Dauer James L. Dauer, Plaintiff

Thereafter the record contains a pleading styled "Temporary Restraining Order," signed by Dauer, and several letters. The correspondence reflects the trial court avoided advising Dauer about the correctness or worth of his lawsuit. In that regard the judge was decidedly correct. It is not the role of a trial judge to inject himself into an adversary proceeding in the aid of either party. To the contrary such action can be unethical. People who choose to represent themselves, as the petitioner has, necessarily must succeed or fail on their knowledge or ability.

Petition denied.

DUDLEY, J., not participating.