

Randall Edward FAIR, a Minor by and
through his natural father and best
friend, and Zane FAIR, Individually *v.*
Clarence E. DUNCAN

80-258

612 S.W. 2d 123

Supreme Court of Arkansas
Opinion delivered March 2, 1981

APPEAL & ERROR — FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH RULE 9(E)(2) OF
APPELLATE PROCEDURE — AFFIRMANCE ON APPEAL. — Where
appellant's brief contains nothing in the way of an abstract
other than pleadings and he has cited no authority in support
of the alleged errors, the judgment of the trial court will be
affirmed due to appellant's failure to comply with Rule
9(e)(2), Rules of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals,
Ark. Stat. Ann. Vol. 3A (Repl. 1979).

Appeal from Independence Circuit Court, *Leroy Blankenship*, Judge; affirmed.

Zane Fair, pro se.

No brief for appellee.

PER CURIAM. Appellant brought suit below for personal injuries to his minor son and property damage to a motorcycle belonging to appellant, as the result of a motor vehicle collision between appellant's son and the appellee on August 28, 1975. A unanimous jury verdict in favor of defendant-appellee was returned and appellant appeals on seven assignments of error.

Appellant's brief contains nothing in the way of an abstract other than pleadings and he has cited no authority in support of the alleged errors. It would be impossible to give intelligent consideration to any of appellant's points of error on the basis of appellant's brief. We therefore affirm due to failure to comply with Rule 9(e)(2) of the Rules of the Supreme Court. *Umboltz v. Allen*, 254 Ark. 722, 495 S.W. 2d

874 (1973); *Dickson v. Harpole*, 238 Ark. 775, 384 S.W. 2d
472 (1964).

Affirmed.
