

Cite as 2022 Ark. App. 154
ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION I
No. CR-21-297

MICAH ANGEL

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered April 6, 2022

APPEAL FROM THE CRAIGHEAD
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT,
WESTERN DISTRICT
[NO. 16]CR-20-1136]

HONORABLE CINDY THYER,
JUDGE

AFFIRMED

LARRY D. VAUGHT, Judge

On January 9, 2020, Micah Angel received a citation for violating City of Jonesboro ordinance JOORD66.157, which governs “noise from vehicles, hazardous or negligent driving.” On September 14, the Craighead County District Court found Angel guilty of violating the city ordinance. On October 12, Angel lodged the district court record with the Craighead County Circuit Clerk.¹ The circuit court dismissed Angel’s appeal on February 4, 2021, finding that she had failed to perfect the appeal. Angel now appeals the circuit court’s dismissal of her case. Because Angel fails to make any arguments challenging the circuit court’s dismissal order, we affirm.

¹The circuit clerk did not file mark the record until October 19, 2020, but an email from the circuit clerk dated October 14 documents that the appeal was filed two days earlier, on October 12.

Angel's arguments on appeal amount to a single paragraph in which she asserts her actual innocence, arguing that she had a right to defend herself from other drivers who she claims were driving erratically, and calls into question the credibility of various government officials involved in the prosecution of her case. She does not cite any legal authority, nor does she assert or develop any argument linking these allegations to the circuit court's dismissal of her appeal from district court.

It is well settled that we will not consider an appellant's argument for reversal that presents no citation to authority or convincing argument in its support. *Foster v. State*, 2016 Ark. App. 457, at 3; *Ortega v. State*, 2016 Ark. 372, at 6, 501 S.W.3d 824, 828. We have refused to consider an argument when "there is no legal citation or convincing argument—just conclusory statements." *Thomas v. State*, 2012 Ark. App. 466, at 7, 422 S.W.3d 217, 221. Angel's arguments regarding her actual innocence and the credibility of the government officials involved in her case are unsupported and provide no basis for reversal.

Angel did not preserve the issue for appeal and does not assert on appeal any challenge to the circuit court's findings that she failed to perfect her appeal to the circuit court. Because she has failed to challenge the court's basis for dismissing her appeal, we affirm.

Affirmed.

GRUBER and HIXSON, JJ., agree.

Micah Angel, pro se appellant.

Leslie Rutledge, Att'y Gen., by: *Jason Michael Johnson*, Ass't Att'y Gen., for appellee.