Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 334

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION II No. CA09-1138

HEATHER WIGGINS

Opinion Delivered April 21, 2010

APPELLANT

APPEAL FROM THE POPE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT [NO. JV-2008-178]

V.

HONORABLE KEN D. COKER, JR., JUDGE

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

APPELLEE

AFFIRMED; MOTION TO WITHDRAW GRANTED

JOHN MAUZY PITTMAN, Judge

This is an appeal from an order terminating appellant's parental rights to her children, A.M., born February 9, 2006, and L.W., born September 29, 2004. Appellant's counsel has filed a no-merit brief pursuant to *Linker-Flores v. Arkansas Department of Human Services*, 359 Ark. 131, 194 S.W.3d 739 (2004), and Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 6-9(i), asserting that there are no issues of arguable merit to support the appeal and requesting to be relieved as counsel. The clerk of this court sent a certified copy of the brief and motion to be relieved to appellant's last known address, informing her that she had the right to file *pro se* points for reversal under Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 6-9(i)(3). The certified packet was returned with the delivery notation "Attempted, Not Known." Our clerk attempted to obtain an updated address for appellant, but none was available.

Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 334

Counsel's brief details all adverse rulings made at the termination hearing and explains

why there is no meritorious ground for reversal. In essence, the record shows that removal

of the children was based on neglect that was largely attributable to appellant's arrest and

incarceration for illegal drug use and that, although appropriate services were offered,

appellant failed to avail herself of them and complete her case plan. Notably, appellant

generally failed to attend ordered NA/AA meetings and, despite the provision of

transportation services by the Department of Human Services, had not visited the children in

the eight-month period preceding the termination hearing. At the time of the termination

hearing, more than twelve months after removal, appellant had lost her home and was back

in jail because of new drug charges that could result in substantial prison sentences.

Based on our examination of the record and the brief presented to us, we find that

counsel has complied with the requirements established by the Arkansas Supreme Court for

no-merit motions in termination cases, and we hold that the appeal is wholly without merit.

Consequently, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the order terminating

appellant's parental rights.

Affirmed.

HENRY and BAKER, JJ., agree.

-2-