Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 54

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION I No. CACR09-600

BOBBY R. FORD

Opinion Delivered January 20, 2010

APPELLANT APPEAL FROM THE UNION COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT [NO. CR-2008-102-1-1]

V.

HONORABLE HAMILTON H.
SINGLETON, JUDGE

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE WITHDRAW GRANTED

JOHN MAUZY PITTMAN, Judge

In 2008, appellant was placed on probation for five years for the commission of two felonies. Subsequently, the State filed a petition to revoke, alleging that appellant violated the conditions of his probation by committing the offenses of aggravated assault and possession of a firearm by a felon. After a hearing in February 2009, the trial court sentenced appellant to four years in the Arkansas Department of Correction, finding that appellant violated the conditions of his probation by possessing a firearm, pointing it at another person, and shooting at a vehicle. This appeal followed.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, appellant's attorney has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel on the ground that the appeal is wholly without merit. The motion

Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 54

is accompanied by an abstract, brief, and addendum referring to everything in the record that

might arguably support the appeal, including all motions, objections, and requests decided

adversely to appellant and a statement of reasons why none of those rulings would be a

meritorious ground for reversal. The clerk of this court furnished appellant with a copy of

his counsel's brief and notified him of his right to file a pro se statement of points for reversal

within thirty days. Appellant filed a such a statement, but all of his points are either

adequately covered by counsel's brief, not preserved for appeal, or state no legal ground for

reversal on appeal. The State filed a brief in which it concurs that there is no merit to the

appeal.

From our review of the record and the briefs presented to us, we find compliance with

Rule 4–3(k) and that the appeal is without merit. Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw

is granted, and the order of revocation is affirmed.

Affirmed; motion to withdraw granted.

HART and GLADWIN, JJ., agree.

-2-