## Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 44

## ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION IV No. CACR09-311

DEANGELO DEWAYNE DILLARD, APPELLANT Opinion Delivered JANUARY 13, 2010

V.

APPEAL FROM THE CRITTENDEN COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT, [NO. CR-2007-300]

STATE OF ARKANSAS,

APPELLEE

HONORABLE H. GRAHAM PARTLOW, JR., JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

## KAREN R. BAKER, Judge

On March 8, 2007, appellant Deangelo Dillard was charged with sale or delivery of a controlled substance in Crittenden County Circuit Court. Appellant entered a guilty plea to the reduced charge of possession of a controlled substance, a Class C felony. Appellant was placed on forty-eight months' probation. On August 18, 2008, the State filed a petition to revoke appellant's probation, alleging that appellant had violated the following conditions of his probation: failure to pay fines, costs, and fees as directed; failure to report to probation officer as directed; failure to pay probation fees; failure to notify sheriff and probation officer of current address and employment; committing the unlawful acts of aggravated assault, fleeing, sale or delivery of a controlled substance (cocaine); inciting a riot; failure to satisfy municipal court commitment; driving a vehicle with a suspended driver's license; and possession and use of marijuana. After a hearing on the State's petition, the trial court found that appellant had violated the terms and conditions of his

Cite as 2010 Ark. App. 44

probation and sentenced him to eight years' imprisonment in the Arkansas Department of

Correction.

Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the

Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, appellant's counsel has filed a motion to withdraw

on grounds that the appeal is without merit. The motion is accompanied by counsel's brief in which

counsel explains why there is nothing in the record that would arguably support an appeal.

The clerk of this court provided appellant with a copy of his counsel's brief and notified him

of his right to file a pro se list of points on appeal within thirty days. Appellant did not file a list of

pro se points on appeal.

From our review of the record and the briefs presented to us, we find compliance with Rule

4-3(k) and that the appeal is wholly without merit. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to

withdraw and affirm the revocation of appellant's probation.

Affirmed; motion to be relieved granted.

HENRY and BROWN, JJ., agree.

-2-