

**ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS**

DIVISION II  
No. CACR10-208

ADAM DANIEL HURD

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

**Opinion Delivered** JANUARY 12, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE PULASKI  
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT,  
FOURTH DIVISION  
[NO. CR2008-3573]

HONORABLE HERBERT THOMAS  
WRIGHT, JR., JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

---

**RITA W. GRUBER, Judge**

A Pulaski County jury convicted appellant Adam Daniel Hurd of second-degree sexual assault. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, appellant’s counsel has filed a motion to withdraw on grounds that the appeal is without merit. This motion is accompanied by an abstract and brief referring to everything in the record that might arguably support an appeal and explaining why each adverse ruling in this case is not a meritorious ground for reversal. The clerk of this court attempted to send a certified copy of counsel’s brief and motion to be relieved to appellant, informing him that he had the right to file pro se points for reversal, but the post office was unable to effect delivery. The package was sent to two different addresses, but the package was returned marked “unclaimed.” Attempts to obtain an address from appellant’s attorney, the sheriff, and the circuit clerk have been unsuccessful.

Cite as 2011 Ark. App. 24

Therefore, there are no pro se points for reversal to consider on appeal.

After examining the adverse rulings addressed by counsel, we hold that counsel has complied with Rule 4-3(k) and that the appeal is without merit. Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to be relieved and affirm the judgment of conviction.

Affirmed; motion granted.

ROBBINS and BROWN, JJ., agree.