



and rebriefing because the requirements of *Anders, supra*, and Rule 4-3(k) have not been satisfied.

An attorney attempting to withdraw from a criminal appeal must list every adverse ruling and explain how each ruling could provide no meritorious ground for reversal. *Weaver v. State*, 2013 Ark. App. 310. Even a single omission from a no-merit brief necessarily requires rebriefing. *Id.*

Here, counsel's presentation of the adverse rulings he addressed was exemplary. However, the record reveals several unabstracted pretrial motions that apparently were addressed in an omnibus hearing, but the omnibus hearing was not included in the record and, consequently, not abstracted or addressed in the brief. We have no way of knowing if the motions were decided in Wilson's favor or adversely to him. Without the full presentation and discussion of the rulings on these motions, we are unable to determine if an appeal in this case would be wholly without merit.

Counsel is directed to supplement the record within fifteen days from the date of this opinion, and file a substituted abstract, brief, and addendum within fifteen days thereafter. In addition, we always encourage counsel to carefully review the rules and *Anders, supra*, to ensure that no other deficiencies exist.

Remanded for supplementation of the record and rebriefing ordered; motion to withdraw denied.

ABRAMSON and GLADWIN, JJ., agree.

*Robert M. "Robby" Golder*, for appellant.

No response.