

# ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION III  
No. CV-15-980

HEATHER CROW

APPELLANT

V.

ADVANCED DENTAL IMPLANTS AND  
DENTURE CENTER

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered: August 31, 2016

APPEAL FROM THE ARKANSAS  
WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
COMMISSION  
[NO. G401349]

AFFIRMED

---

**RAYMOND R. ABRAMSON, Judge**

Heather Crow appeals the October 13, 2015 opinion of the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission ("the Commission") that affirmed and adopted the April 29, 2015 opinion of the Administrative Law Judge, which denied Crow's request for benefits pursuant to Arkansas Code Annotated section 11-9-505(a)(1). Crow argues that the decision of the Commission is not supported by substantial evidence. We affirm.

We review a decision of the Commission to determine whether there is substantial evidence to support it. *Queen v. Nortel Networks*, 2013 Ark. App. 523. We review the evidence and all reasonable inferences deducible therefrom in the light most favorable to the Commission's findings. *Id.* It is the Commission's province to weigh the evidence and determine what is most credible. *Id.* The issue on appeal is not whether we would have reached a different result or whether the evidence would have supported a contrary

conclusion; we will affirm if reasonable minds could reach the Commission's conclusion.

*Id.*

It is the Commission's duty, not ours, to make credibility determinations, to weigh the evidence, and to resolve conflicts in the evidence and testimony. *Adams v. Bemis Co., Inc.*, 2010 Ark. App. 859, at 2. Where the Commission has denied a claim because of the claimant's failure to meet her burden of proof, the substantial-evidence standard of review requires that we affirm if the Commission's opinion displays a substantial basis for the denial of relief. *Bolus v. Jack Cecil Hardware*, 2013 Ark. App. 288. Because this is the sole issue now before us, and because the Commission's opinion adequately explains the decision, we affirm by memorandum opinion. *In re Memorandum Opinions*, 16 Ark. App. 301, 700 S.W.2d 63 (1985) (per curiam).

Affirmed.

VIRDEN and GRUBER, JJ., agree.

*Martin Law Firm*, by: *Aaron L. Martin*, for appellant.

*Smith, Williams & Meeks, L.L.P.*, by: *Gene Williams*, for appellees.