

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION IV
No. CR-14-683

ALBERT W. LIDDELL

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered June 17, 2015

APPEAL FROM THE CRITTENDEN
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
[NO. CR-2011-1018]

HONORABLE RALPH WILSON, JR.,
JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

RITA W. GRUBER, Judge

This no-merit revocation case is before us for the second time. In *Liddell v. State*, 2015 Ark. App. 172, we ordered rebriefing because counsel had not complied with the requirements of Rules 4-3(k) and 4-2(a)(5) of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals (2014). Counsel now has filed a no-merit brief and a motion to withdraw that comply with the requirements of our rules and of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Albert W. Liddell has been notified of his right to file a list of pro se points for reversal, but he has not done so.

The State alleged in its petition to revoke that Liddell had violated various conditions of his probation for theft of property. At the conclusion of the revocation hearing, the circuit court found by a preponderance of the evidence that Liddell had inexcusably failed to comply with conditions requiring him to pay fines and costs and to pay probation fees; to live a law-abiding life, not violate any laws, and not associate with persons who do; and to cooperate

with his probation officer and report as directed. By sentencing order of May 6, 2014, Liddell's probation was revoked and he was sentenced to thirty-six months' imprisonment and thirty-six months' suspended imposition of sentence.

Counsel's brief adequately explains why none of the adverse rulings arguably constitutes a meritorious ground for reversal. We therefore affirm the revocation and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

Affirmed; motion granted.

HARRISON and VAUGHT, JJ., agree.

C. Brian Williams, for appellant.

No response.