Cite as 2015 Ark. App. 236

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION III **No.** CR-13-1094

MOSES A. KIMMONS

APPELLANT

Opinion Delivered April 15, 2015

V.

APPEAL FROM THE CRITTENDEN COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT [NO. CR-2010-752]

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

HONORABLE JOHN N. FOGLEMAN, JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION TO WITHDRAW GRANTED

RITA W. GRUBER, Judge

This revocation case comes before us for the second time. Counsel again has filed a no-merit brief, asserting that there are no arguable grounds for reversal and asking to be relieved as counsel. In *Kimmons v. State*, 2014 Ark. App. 713, we ordered rebriefing because counsel's brief did not comply with the requirements of Rule 4-3(k)(1) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals. The deficiencies in counsel's original brief have been corrected in the current brief.

A copy of counsel's brief and a letter notifying appellant, Moses A. Kimmons, of his right to file a list of pro se points for reversal were sent by certified mail to his last known address. The packet was returned with a notation that the address did not exist. Counsel has no additional contact information, and Kimmons has not filed points for reversal.

In June 2010, Kimmons pleaded guilty to felon in possession of a firearm and was

Cite as 2015 Ark. App. 236

sentenced to three years' imprisonment in the Arkansas Department of Correction and three

years' suspended imposition of sentence, subject to certain written conditions. The State

subsequently filed a petition to revoke, alleging that Kimmons had violated various conditions

of the suspended imposition of sentence. At the conclusion of the revocation hearing, the

circuit court found that Kimmons by his own admission had violated terms and conditions

by possessing marijuana. The court granted the petition to revoke and sentenced Kimmons

to thirty-six months' imprisonment in the Arkansas Department of Correction.

The abstract and addendum in counsel's brief include all objections and motions

decided adversely to appellant, and counsel explains in the argument portion of his brief why

there is nothing in the record that would arguably support an appeal. From our review of the

record and the brief presented to us, we find compliance with Rule 4-3(k) and find that there

is no merit to an appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the order of revocation and grant counsel's

motion to withdraw.

Affirmed; motion to withdraw granted.

VIRDEN and WHITEAKER, JJ., agree.

Gary J. Barrett, for appellant.

No response.

2