

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION IV
No. CACR 12-548

VERNON RENFROE

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered January 23, 2013

APPEAL FROM THE LOGAN
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT,
NORTHERN DISTRICT
[NO. CR-2007-27B]

HONORABLE JERRY D. RAMEY,
JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

RHONDA K. WOOD, Judge

On July 24, 2007, a judgment and disposition order was entered in Logan County Circuit Court following Vernon Renfroe's negotiated plea of guilty to the offense of forgery in the second degree. Renfroe was sentenced to sixty months' probation and ordered to pay \$1,500 in fines, \$150 in court costs, and \$250 for a DNA fee. Conditions of probation were filed for record on the date of Renfroe's guilty plea.

The State filed a petition to revoke the probated sentence on June 4, 2010. The State received additional information from Renfroe's probation officer and filed an amended petition to revoke probation on March 1, 2012, which included the following violations: committing crimes punishable by imprisonment; leaving the State of Arkansas without permission; failure to report to the probation officer; admitting the use of marijuana; and failure to pay court fines, fees, and other costs. By a judgment and commitment order of



Cite as 2013 Ark. App. 35

March 9, 2012, the circuit court granted the revocation, sentenced Renfroe to ten years' imprisonment, and ordered him to pay the costs, fees, and restitution set forth in his original forgery conviction.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and in compliance with Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, Renfroe's attorney brings a no-merit appeal and a motion asking to be relieved as counsel. The motion to withdraw is accompanied by a brief including both a discussion of matters in the record that might arguably support an appeal and a statement as to why counsel considers the points to be incapable of supporting a meritorious appeal. Renfroe has not exercised his right to file pro se points for reversal. As a result, the State has not filed a brief.

The State need show only one violation of probation in order to sustain a revocation. *Phillips v. State*, 101 Ark. App. 190, 272 S.W.3d 123 (2008). Here, at the conclusion of a revocation hearing, the circuit court found that Renfroe had violated four written conditions of his probation. From our review of the record and the brief presented to us, we find that counsel has complied with the requirements of Rule 4-3(k)(1) and hold that there is no merit to this appeal. Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is granted and the revocation is affirmed.

Affirmed; motion granted.

HIXSON and BROWN, JJ., agree.

Jessica L. Carnes, P.A., by: *Jessica L. Carnes*, for appellant.

No response.