

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION II
No. CA12-640

MARLA GREEN

APPELLANT

V.

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF
HUMAN SERVICES and K.G., MINOR
CHILD

APPELLEES

OPINION DELIVERED DECEMBER 5, 2012

APPEAL FROM THE SHARP
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
[NO. CV2009-125]

HONORABLE KEVIN KING, JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION TO
WITHDRAW GRANTED; MOTION
TO FILE DELAYED PRO SE POINTS
DENIED

ROBERT J. GLADWIN, Judge

In an order entered May 8, 2012, the Sharp County Circuit Court terminated the parental rights of appellant, Marla Green, to her daughter, K.G., whose date of birth is February 5, 2009.¹ Ms. Green's appellate counsel has moved to withdraw from this case and has filed a no-merit brief pursuant to *Linker-Flores v. Arkansas Department of Human Services*, 359 Ark. 131, 194 S.W.3d 739 (2004), and Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Rule 6-9(i) (2012), asserting that there are no issues that would support a meritorious appeal. Pursuant to Rule 6-9, the court clerk has mailed to appellant a copy of her counsel's motion and brief, informing her of her right to file pro se points for reversal. The pro se packet was returned

¹The order terminating parental rights includes the termination of the rights of the father, Samuel Mullins; however, he is not included in this appeal.

unclaimed on October 11, 2012. However, appellant filed a motion to file delayed pro se points on November 1, 2012, explaining that she was now living at her mother's address in Missouri. Neither the Arkansas Department of Human Services nor the attorney ad litem filed a responsive brief due to appellant's failure to timely file pro se points. We hereby deny appellant's motion to file belated pro se points, grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and affirm the trial court's termination order.

In compliance with *Linker-Flores* and Rule 6-9(i), counsel ordered the entire record and examined it for adverse rulings. Nine adverse rulings related to appellant were identified: the order terminating appellant's parental rights and eight evidentiary rulings. Counsel then explained why a challenge to these adverse rulings would not support a meritorious argument for reversal.

After carefully examining the record and the no-merit brief, we hold that appellant's counsel has complied with the requirements for no-merit parental-termination appeals and that the appeal is wholly without merit. Accordingly, by memorandum opinion we affirm the termination of appellant's parental rights to K.G. *In re Memorandum Opinions*, 16 Ark. App. 301, 700 S.W.2d 63 (1985); Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 5-2(e) (2012). We also grant her counsel's motion to withdraw from representation of appellant.

Affirmed; motion to withdraw granted; motion to file delayed pro se points denied.

VAUGHT, C.J., and MARTIN, J., agree.

Janet Lawrence, for appellant.

No response.