

**ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS**

DIVISION I  
No. CACR11-598

ALFRED JERMAINE SCOTT  
APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS  
APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered June 27, 2012

APPEAL FROM THE CRITTENDEN  
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT  
[NO. CR 2008-1181]

HONORABLE JOHN N.  
FOGLEMAN, JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

---

**RITA W. GRUBER, Judge**

In a negotiated plea of January 26, 2009, Alfred Jermaine Scott was found guilty of delivery of cocaine and received ten years' suspended imposition of sentence. On November 29, 2010, the State petitioned for revocation based upon violations of written conditions. By a judgment and commitment order of March 14, 2011, the circuit court granted the revocation and sentenced Scott to five years' imprisonment with an additional five years' suspended imposition of sentence.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and in compliance with Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals, Scott's attorney brings a no-merit appeal and a motion asking to be relieved as counsel. The motion to withdraw is accompanied by a brief including both a discussion of matters in the record that might arguably support an appeal and a statement as to why counsel considers the points to



Cite as 2012 Ark. App. 418

be incapable of supporting a meritorious appeal. Scott has not filed points for reversal despite being notified by the clerk of this court of his right to do so. As a result, the State has not filed a brief.

The State need show only one violation of probation in order to sustain a revocation. *Phillips v. State*, 101 Ark. App. 190, 272 S.W.3d 123 (2008). Here, at the conclusion of a revocation hearing, the circuit court found that Scott—by possessing a firearm and by associating with someone who he knew had committed a crime—had violated two written conditions of probation.

From our review of the record and the brief presented to us, we find that counsel has complied with the requirements of Rule 4-3(k)(1) and hold that there is no merit to this appeal. Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is granted and the revocation is affirmed.

Affirmed; motion granted.

PITTMAN and HOOFFMAN, JJ., agree.

*C. Brian Williams*, for appellant.

No response.