

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION I
No. CA11-608

DELORES SHAW

APPELLANT

V.

RHEEM MFG. CO. and OLD
REPUBLIC INS. CO.

APPELLEES

Opinion Delivered November 30, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE ARKANSAS
WORKERS' COMPENSATION
COMMISSION
[NO. F913343]

AFFIRMED

WAYMOND M. BROWN, Judge

Appellant Delores Shaw appeals the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission's (Commission) denial of benefits to her for an alleged compensable left-shoulder injury she claims she suffered while working for appellee Rheem Manufacturing Company. Shaw argues on appeal that the denial of benefits is not supported by substantial evidence. We affirm.

In appeals involving claims for workers' compensation, we view the evidence in the light most favorable to the Commission's decision and affirm the decision if it is supported by substantial evidence.¹ Substantial evidence exists if reasonable minds could reach the Commission's conclusion.² The issue is not whether the appellate court might have reached

¹*Galloway v. Tyson Foods, Inc.*, 2010 Ark. App. 610, 378 S.W.3d 210.

²*Id.*



a different result from the Commission; if reasonable minds could reach the result found by the Commission, the appellate court must affirm.³

Shaw contends that she suffered a compensable injury to her left shoulder between May 4, 2009 and July 9, 2009, due to rapid repetitive motion. She argues that the Commission's failure to recognize her injury as compensable is not supported by the evidence. Shaw has failed to cite to any authority in support of her argument that the Commission erred by finding that she did not suffer a compensable injury. This court may refuse to consider an argument where appellant fails to cite any legal authority, and the failure to cite authority or make a convincing argument is a sufficient reason for affirmance.⁴ Accordingly, we affirm.

Affirmed.

WYNNE and ABRAMSON, JJ., agree.

³*Id.*

⁴See *Jewell v. Fletcher*, 2010 Ark. 195, 377 S.W.3d 176 (citing *Middleton v. Lockhart*, 344 Ark. 572, 43 S.W.3d 113 (2001)).